MR. SCHECHTER: There's one additional one and I only have two of three and I have no idea what this is.

(Shown to counsel.)

MS. JOHNSON: What does it say on the cover page of your Rosario packet?

Where did you pull that out of?

MR. SCHECHTER: I got it from the group of papers you gave me.

MS. JOHNSON: Because the cover page had a list of what everything was, so let me just take a look at mine.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

It's part of a complaint report that wasn't part of the narrative, which is why what was turned over, is the defendant's information and the part that was redacted was the personal information of the complainant.

MR. SCHECHTER: Well, certainly I'm entitled to that on Rosario with respect to the complainant, but we should have some kind of Court follow-up on this, maybe make these Court exhibits, to make sure I get these papers and there are other documents I did not get and I would like to give reference to them, if I may.

ws

Proceedings

MS. JOHNSON: I agree, they are absolutely
Rosario for purposes of the trial.

THE COURT: I'm a little confused,

Mr. Schechter, as to what you're asking me.

MR. SCHECHTER: I could have this marked as a Court exhibit, if the Court wishes, and ask her why -- there are three papers. I only have two of three.

There's not one of three I don't have and three of three I don't have. I have no idea what that document is.

MS. JOHNSON: It's part of a complaint report, your Honor, and the part that was not provided was narrative about the complainant, information involving the complainant.

The part that was disclosed for hearing purposes is what was relevant not only to the testimony of both witnesses here, but the defendant.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this.

Did you provide -- I'm looking at something that's a document that says Page 2 of three.

Did you provide Page 1 and page 3?

MS. JOHNSON: I would not have, Judge, if it was part of the victim's narrative.

MR. SCHECHTER: No, I did not get it.

MS. JOHNSON: And Page 3, I believe, is the

ws

one I showed counsel that's blank.

Proceedings

-

report.

MR. SCHECHTER: That's a different report,

Judge. That Page 3 that was blank was the arrest

THE COURT: Well, I have no idea what I'm looking at.

MR. SCHECHTER: I don't either, Judge, and that's why I'm raising this to the Court.

THE COURT: Do you see what he's handed up to the Court, Ms. Johnson?

MR. SCHECHTER: I showed it to her, I believe, Judge.

MS. JOHNSON: I'm pulling out -- I have a full copy of the detective's case jacket, so let me take a look.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

MS. JOHNSON: What he just handed up to the Court was Page 2 of the complaint report, Page 1 being what your Honor had looked at where it said at time/place of occurrence, crime victim walked inside of 105th Precinct.

So here is Page 2 of that three-page complaint report. Page 3 already being provided to counsel which indicates the defendant's information and a portion where it says crime data and details. So

this is Page 2 of the complaint report.

MR. SCHECHTER: I think -- may I see that, please?

(Shown to counsel.)

MR. SCHECHTER: I believe counsel is mistaken and I would like to show you why.

She had alluded to the fact Page 1 of three is that narrative. She's referring to the complaint report.

This is another complaint report. If you look at Page 2 of three, which is the one I have here, it's completely different.

MS. JOHNSON: There's more than one complaint report, that's why.

MR. SCHECHTER: It's not the same first page for both reports, counsel, is what I'm saying.

page 1 is different for each one. And there's no Page 3 here either. All I have is two of three on this particular item.

Just so that the record is clear, the document I'm referring to has information concerning the complainant which is blacked out on the top and in one of the boxes it says reporter, colon, a number sign, one of one and then again it appears to be information about the complainant which is blacked out

	Proceedings 145
_	
1	and then underneath that, wanted number, one of one, it
2	refers to a male, five feet nine inches tall, my
3	client's name, but that's all there is on this document
4	and I have no idea what it relates to.
5	Certainly, the Page 1 alluded to by counsel
6	cannot possibly relate to the same complaint report.
7	MS. JOHNSON: Judge, I have them both in
8	front of me.
9	THE COURT: This is part of what was handed
10	over, I think, last week.
11	I've got Page it starts off with it's a
12	legal size copy. It says Page 2 of three.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: Is that the one that's
14	blacked out on the top and the sex box?
15	THE COURT: No, it's not blacked out.
16	MR. SCHECHTER: Two of three I have
17	THE COURT: But then at the bottom of the
18	first it says end of complaint report.
19	MR. SCHECHTER: Is your Honor referring to
20	THE COURT: Excuse me.
21	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm sorry.
22	THE COURT: So then I go to the next page,
23	there's another two of three.
24	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
25	THE COURT: That has at the top it says

there starts to be some blacked out items. Then it says reporter, one of one.

MR. SCHECHTER: Right.

THE COURT: There's a name that's blacked out next to that.

MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.

THE COURT: Then it refers to a male, which I'm assuming is the defendant.

MR. SCHECHTER: My client's name is there, Judge.

But I'm saying I don't have anything -
THE COURT: Then it goes to Page 3 of three

and then it says end of complaint report.

So you've got --

MS. JOHNSON: Because there was two complaint reports generated, your Honor, one that was printed probably from the PD website and one that was printed from the internal computer which is why he has two copies.

One of them -- both of them begin the same way. One has more information than the other, but the information as to the defendant has all been provided.

If your Honor wants to take a look I'll show you both of the reports, but everything that's -THE COURT: Let me just tell you this.

147

The Page 2, if you will, of two of three, as 1 I indicated, I have two of them. 2 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 3 MR. SCHECHTER: Right. 4 THE COURT: They're two different pages. 5 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, because there's two 6 7 complaint reports, so both were turned over. THE COURT: So both were what? 8 MS. JOHNSON: Both were put in the packet 9 because there was two complaint reports that were 10 generated. 11 MR. SCHECHTER: Well, I only have, as I said, 12 two of the three of them on one and only one of the 13 three on the other, the second page. 14 I have nothing regarding Page 1 and 3 of the 15 16 one we're talking about now, Judge. THE COURT: Where is the Page 1 of -- where 17 is Page 1 of -- you're telling me that there's two 18 19 complaint reports that get generated? 20 MS. JOHNSON: I'm looking at them right here, 21 Judge. 22 Both of the complaint reports, both Page 1, 23 have the narrative involving the complainant. Both of 24 them start the same, have the same information, the

occurrence location, occurrence from, classification

Proceedings 148 and the narrative. THE COURT: Now, that hasn't been provided as part of the Rosario, yes? MR. SCHECHTER: Has not. MS. JOHNSON: That has not. There was no information in there other than the victim's information and the narrative. THE COURT: Is that what I just looked at a MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: So I ruled that that appeared, from my standpoint, to be dealing just with statements the complainant may have made.

MS. JOHNSON: Page 2 with regards to both complaint reports has the reporter information and the wanted information and the defendant's personal information that counsel has in his hands right now, in his left hand.

MR. SCHECHTER: Right. That's the first one. We already disposed of that.

I'm talking about the second one with the blackened out material Page 2 of three. I don't know what three of three is on that.

MS. JOHNSON: Page 3 is exactly the same as Page 2 of the other report.

ws

25

moment ago?

149

THE COURT: Well, there's a three of three 1 that I have and that's where it says end of complaint 2 3 report. MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge. That is the same. 4 5 In fact, it has less information than Page 2 of 3. If counsel wants to just take a look at it, I 6 mean, I'll show it to him, but he has it. 7 8 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. 9 Why was there two Page 2s, if you will, generated out of a complaint report? 10 MS. JOHNSON: I believe it's because when 11 12 they generate the reports, once the supervisor approves 13 them more information is put at the bottom, which is 14 why Page 2 of the other report has all the supervisor's 15 information. It's sort of like the unauthorized versus 16 authorized. 17 So they both have all the exact same 18 information, it's just lined up on the page differently 19 and the final report has the sergeant's name. 20 THE COURT: Can I just see these? 21 MS. JOHNSON: Sure. 22 THE COURT: Because you make it very, very 23 difficult when you start, you know, extracting, you

know, pages out because it becomes apparent that there

looks like there are things that are missing.

24

150

I'm not claiming anyone is doing it 1 2 intentionally. MS. JOHNSON: What I should have done was 3 give all the pages with redactions instead of pulling 4 5 the pages out. THE COURT: Right. 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: So I'm going to give the Court all of the complaint reports that I have. 8 9 MR. SCHECHTER: I have a few other issues, 10 Judge, but let's get through this first. 11 MS. JOHNSON: I'm sorry, here is the first 12 page that your Honor already looked at. 13 (Shown to Court.) 14 THE COURT: All right, this I've seen. 15 (Pause in the proceedings.) 16 THE COURT: Well, you know what I'm going to 17 direct the People to do because, quite frankly, this 18 shouldn't be me doing this, I'm going to direct the People to put together whatever these complaint reports 19 20 are, whatever order they were in in terms of the pages. 21 MS. JOHNSON: Of course. 22 THE COURT: Whatever you feel you need to 23 redact, I want you to indicate that to me. 24 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. 25 MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I'm truly

cognizant and I appreciate the Court's direction,
however, for the life of me, I don't understand why
counsel just, since I'm going to require them
eventually anyway, provide me with the Rosario material
for the witnesses so we could avoid all this.

THE COURT: That would seem to be the easiest way of dealing with it, which I recognize.

I'm not going to force the People -- having said that, I'm not going to force them to give over Rosario, at least with respect to the first page.

In all fairness, I don't think they're entitled -- or required, at this point, to provide to you.

I understand your point.

MR. SCHECHTER: All I'm saying, your Honor, is all this does is exacerbate the length of time and the delay in this case because I then have to look at this stuff.

MS. JOHNSON: I'll give him everything. I'l just redact the narrative, that's what I'll do, if I could use the copy machine I'll make copies for everyone.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SCHECHTER: Before she goes, there's more.

THE COURT: What else is there,
Mr. Schechter?

MR. SCHECHTER: The complaint follow-up reports, I was given two of them.

Now, I would direct the Court's attention to the right side of the top of the page, the second box down, it says follow-up number.

I have follow-up Number 3 and I have follow-up complaint report Number 4.

I do not have follow-up Number 1 or Number 2.

MS. JOHNSON: Can I take a look at that?

MR. SCHECHTER: Sure.

(Shown to counsel.)

MS. JOHNSON: Which ones are you missing?

MR. SCHECHTER: I don't have follow-up report
Number 2 or 1, complaint follow-up reports Number 1 and
2.

MS. JOHNSON: I have those. They're exactly the same.

If he wants I'll give him a copy -- and you don't have 4?

MR. SCHECHTER: Number 1, I don't have.

MS. JOHNSON: I'll give them to him. They're the same. If anything, they're missing information that was provided to him in the other ones.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

J

THE COURT: So give it to him.

MS. JOHNSON: That's fine.

THE COURT: What else Mr. Schechter?

MR. SCHECHTER: If the Court please, I do not have a copy of the activity log which, in my understanding, is routinely filled out by the police officer, including the desk sergeant's note of entries as to when my client came into the precinct, which is required when someone comes into the precinct and arrested. There's always a log entry made of it. I don't have that. It's very important in the context of this case.

I did not see complaint report entitled

Police Department PD 313-152, which is a complaint

report. Now, Police Department documents are normally

numbered in that kind of way. I did not see that

document.

I did not see the New York State standardized domestic incident report. That is called DCJS 3221.

That is mandated by Police Department regulations procedure Number 208-36.

Counsel had indicated, I think, that report of suspected child abuse form was not filled out because ACS was at the precinct.

However, Police Department regulations

Proceedings

require that PD 377-154, which is report of suspected child abuse, if same is done, must be prepared.

Additionally, the report to the patrol supervisor, I have not gotten that.

The domestic report incident log, I did not get that.

Now, I don't know if an online booking system arrest work sheet was done. If so, I have not gotten that.

And I think we've ascertained, and I'm going to ask the police officer if he knows, whether the commander had, in fact, told him he was going to make a recommendation for a commendation in his record. That we have to ascertain on the witness stand.

But those other documents I haven't gotten, Judge.

MS. JOHNSON: Judge, the DIR and the complaint reports will be disclosed at trial. It's all narrative with regards to the complainant.

I'm looking now at some of the documents counsel had requested, including the complaint follow-up report Number 1.

Judge, this I'm going to ask the Court, if the Court wants to review it, that I not turn it over.

It's Detective Schulman 's follow-up report. It is all

155

about the contact with ACS and conversations with the complainant and his conversations with a detective. has nothing to do with the defendant's statements. It's all about conversations with the victim and adult -- I don't know if it's CPS or --

THE COURT: Can I see it?

MS. JOHNSON: Of course.

THE COURT: What about the activity log Mr. Schechter spoke about first?

MS. JOHNSON: Detective Schulman does not generate information into that activity log. I had asked him before, in fact, if there was, like a time log, like the PD has here?

THE COURT: Right.

MS. JOHNSON: He says that if it does get generated it gets done by either the desk sergeant -it was not done by the officer and it was not done by this detective.

He said there is no formal log like we have. Sometimes there's a card that gets filled out, but he did not prepare one and, according to my conversations with Officer Alfaro, she did not prepare one either.

MR. SCHECHTER: Here's the problem. Detective Schulman was not the arresting officer nor was he the first one on the scene when my client came

ws

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

into the precinct.

The time when my client came into the precinct is an important issue in this matter. It is my understanding that Sergeant O'Hagan being the desk sergeant who participated in the arrest, according to this complaint follow-up sheet, had to complete this documentation and put down the time that my client came into the precinct and when the arrest took place.

Short of subpoenaing Sergeant O'Hagan, I want that desk log -- desk book. Any time someone comes into the precinct there's a desk entry made of it.

There has to be by New York City police regulation. I need the time when that happened.

THE COURT: All right, is Detective Schulman,
Ms. Johnson, saying that there was no recording of when
the defendant came into the precinct?

MS. JOHNSON: He doesn't have any -- he didn't prepare anything and he didn't generate anything into a logbook.

The way he knows the time is based on, like when he testified the sergeant came to him to tell him that the defendant had walked into the precinct. So whether or not the sergeant generated one, I don't know. I haven't spoke to the sergeant.

THE COURT: Well, it would seem to me,

157

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particularly since we've just now watched the videotape that was taken of the defendant, that appears to be done sometime, I think, in the late 5 afternoon on the, I think, the 24th of June.

It sounded as though, you know, in our conference when the case initially came over, that there's going to be some claim made, I'm assuming, that these statements were somehow involuntarily made or the product of some type of coercion, duress, etcetera.

MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.

MS. JOHNSON: Which is why I was going to say to your Honor that I anticipate now, based on counsel's arguments, if I have to call the sergeant as a witness at the hearing I will advise him to bring any log that exists.

THE COURT: Well, I think whether or not you call the sergeant or not, it would be my position that Mr. Schechter is entitled to that activity log, particularly given the fact that we're talking about at least a 12-hour time period that the defendant is in the custody of the police department or 105th Precinct and I would direct the People provide that.

> MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge.

MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you, Judge.

MS. JOHNSON: As to the complaint follow-up,

	Proceedings 158
1	follow up Number 2
2	MR. SCHECHTER: 1 and 2. I believe you
3	said
4	MS. JOHNSON: I believe your Honor has 1 in
5	his hands.
6	THE COURT: I have complaint follow-up
7	Number 1, yes. I have follow-up Number 1.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Follow-up Number 2 I have is
9	all regarding contact with the complainant conversation
10	with the complainant, narrative about the interview
11	with the complainant, nothing about contact with the
12	defendant.
13	I'll provide that to your Honor as well.
14	(Shown to Court.)
15	MS. JOHNSON: Would your Honor like me to go
16	and make copies of the arrest reports?
17	THE COURT: Yes, please.
18	(Pause in the proceedings.)
19	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I gave counsel, I
20	handed up a copy to the Court, I didn't even bother
21	redacting he now has all the complaint reports and
22	arrest reports. I now have Detective Schulman outside
23	calling the 105 command pulling the log for that day.
24	He has my fax number. If he has it before the end of

the hearing today he will fax that over.

MR. SCHECHTER: While we're on it, now that we have this material - I thank counsel for providing me this material - did she ascertain whether or not a domestic incident report was done as per the procedure regulation that I outlined or whether a -
THE COURT: Was there a DIR report done?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge, it's in my file.

It's the narrative of the complainant -- it's her 32B.

THE COURT: Do you want to hand it up to me?

MS. JOHNSON: Sure.

THE COURT: Insofar as the complaint follow-up reports, I've had an opportunity to look at them, Mr. Schechter. They are, for the most part, interviews with the complainant and the ACS worker or investigator, I should say, and hospital -- someone at a hospital that the complainant treated at on June 24th.

They would not appear to me, at this point, to be Rosario for any of these witnesses.

(Shown to Court.)

THE COURT: Can you just give this back to the DA?

(Shown to counsel.)

THE COURT: Now, what did you hand up to me now?

no

ws

MS. JOHNSON: The four-page DIR, your Honor.

I believe it's four pages.

THE COURT: And, likewise, Mr. Schechter, with the domestic incident report, it appears as though that it is, as the People represent, a 32B of the complainant in this case as well as another police officer that actually generated the narrative report on the front of it.

It's not Detective Schulman or

Detective Alfaro, so -- and I would not consider it to

be Rosario at this time.

Anything else?

MR. SCHECHTER: Not at this time, your Honor.

I believe the officer is checking out the activity log.

Is that what we're waiting on?

THE COURT: Well, we're not waiting on it.

He's going -- I believe he called the 105 Precinct. If

you need him back for further cross, I'll certainly

direct the People to do that.

MR. SCHECHTER: Okay.

THE COURT: Can I just ask the People one question?

And I appreciate, Ms. Johnson, you were on trial for, I think it was, close to a month.

ws

MS. JOHNSON: April 1st to the 30th.

THE COURT: Was there any indication or representation made before this case came to this part that you had or had not had an opportunity to gather this Rosario material in this case and go over it with the police officers?

MS. JOHNSON: Could we go off the record for a minute?

THE COURT: No, I would like to have it on the record.

MS. JOHNSON: Judge, my --

THE COURT: Because at this point it appears to me that, you know, this matter is not ready for hearing in the sense that we're now spending more time going over Rosario material than we are going over testimony at this point.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, my verdict came in on Tuesday, April 28th.

On the 29th my case was on before

Judge Donnino for a conference. I was not at work on
the 29th.

When I came into work on the 30th I was advised I was having a hearing and police officers and detectives were going to be in my office at 2 o'clock in the afternoon for purposes of hearing.

ws

1 2

Proceedings

THE COURT: And prior to that time is the first time you started gathering Rosario material in the case or somebody from your office gathered Rosario material --

MS. JOHNSON: I had some of the Rosario material at the time of grand jury, but obviously because there was another DA's Office that was handling the other matter I did not have a complete detective's jacket at the time of grand jury.

What I had was some of the complaint reports, some of the arrest reports. I did not have everything at the time. That's why I had the DA's Office from Queens faxing over stuff to my office yesterday because --

THE COURT: So that is actually -- some of this Rosario material is coming from the Queens DA's Office, not necessarily the Police Department.

MS. JOHNSON: Oh, yes. I did not have all of it. Whatever was in Shulman's case jacket I had almost all of it at the time of grand jury and all of that was turned over last week when we came here for the hearing.

But the stuff that was turned over today, such as some of the property invoices, I got that from the from the arresting officer this morning.

Apparently, the way the NYPD handles their paperwork, is unlike Nassau. Everything isn't in the case jacket. The arresting officers have their own files, which is why this morning, I apologize for not being here at 9:30, a lot of this online booking information I got this morning. I had never seen or spoken to the arresting officer.

THE COURT: Well, when the matter was sent out for hearing on the -- was it the 28th or the 29th?

MS. JOHNSON: It had been sent out before then, but I was on trial so we were sent back to Judge Donnino on the 29th when I was out of the office that day.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Schechter, obviously you may have been more privy to what was going on before this matter went out.

Was there any discussions during the conferencing of this case that -- about the Rosario material and whether or not all this material was going to be available prior to the hearing being started instead of it being doled out, as it is now, by piecemeal?

MR. SCHECHTER: I was directed by Judge Donnino to make this application before your Honor.

THE COURT: What application?

MR. SCHECHTER: Any Rosario issues were to be made before your Honor.

MS. JOHNSON: When I was on trial there was one day in the middle of my trial when I met with Mr. Schechter in Judge Donnino's chambers and there was issues coming up about the Rosario.

I had advised Judge Donnino in

Mr. Schechter's presence I had not yet received a

complete Queens DA's file that had Rosario material.

Mr. Schechter raised the issue and said he believed it was Rosario and I agreed with Mr. Schechter that not only was it Rosario, but obviously for trial I would want a complete file.

This conversation happened, I believe, two weeks ago while -- or maybe a week ago while I was on the trial with the other matter.

MR. SCHECHTER: Several -- counsel is correct. Several of these issues were raised before Judge Donnino while counsel was in the middle of trial and came by to try to, at least, answer on behalf of her office, you know, when this case was called.

But since she was in the middle of a trial she just had no means of securing all of this documentation at this point.

Proceedings

THE COURT: And when it was, on the 28th, you were, what, still on trial?

MS. JOHNSON: My verdict came in on the 28th around 4:30, I believe. It was way after lunch.

MR. SCHECHTER: My issue is not with counsel, your Honor, my issue is with her office. Her office should have done everything possible to have this material available for her.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, we had -Judge Donnino knew that I was on trial. When we were
on in his part we were told we were going from hearing
directly into trial --

THE COURT: Let me ask you this.

The two weeks you said before -- when you were in Judge Donnino's part two weeks before this case got sent out, did he or somebody from his office tell you at that time that on April 29th this thing is going out for hearing and trial?

MS. JOHNSON: He actually told me that on April 27th because he assumed I was going to have a verdict that day and while I was in chambers in the presence of counsel my exact words were, "Judge, I would like to have a day to catch my breath," and I took off the next day and I came back and we were scheduled for hearings.

ws

THE COURT: So when was the first time you found out that this thing was going to hearings and

MS. JOHNSON: Sometime in April.

THE COURT: You have to narrow it down a little bit.

MS. JOHNSON: I don't know because I was on trial the whole month of April and when I had indicated that I didn't know at that time what my witnesses' availability was, Judge Donnino insisted it was going from hearing to trial.

When we had this conversation, I didn't have all the Rosario material, I didn't have all the paperwork and I was on trial, I couldn't get it all at that time.

THE COURT: Well, you know, as I indicated, we're now spending more time trying to figure out what Rosario material has been generated, what Rosario material has been turned over, all of which I would think, to a certain extent -- I understand there's going to be Rosario material that may come available as you're speaking to witnesses, I mean, that's to be expected.

I mean at this point, since I understand and I'm not finding fault with you, necessarily, that

trial?

there's another jurisdiction that's involved, you may not be familiar with the type of paperwork and material that they generate, but, you know, certainly your supervisors, who I have enormous amount of respect for, both came out of the Queens DA's office, I would think more than anybody, they would be familiar with the fact that, look it, this material is going to have to be gathered and ready to be distributed, there's going to be applications to have it redacted.

MS. JOHNSON: And, in defense of them, when I was out on Wednesday they did contact and arrange for the detective, the officer, to come, which is how it was that I knew that they were subpoenaed before. They contacted the Queens DA, but --

THE COURT: Let me ask you, did you know during the course of your trial that as soon as you got off trial in your other case your you were going to be going into hearings and trial?

When did you find that out?

You still haven't answered that.

MS. JOHNSON: We were told by Judge Donnino we were going from hearings into trial.

However --

THE COURT: When?

MS. JOHNSON: I don't know when it was.

Proceedings

However, as your Honor knows, I was in the middle of pretrial in another matter, People versus Mark Hercules.

When Judge Donnino put me on trial with the last trial I was on, I reminded the Court that I had back-to-back trials that were scheduled with an incarcerated defendant and this matter had been sent there.

His honor, Judge Donnino, had indicated to me, "You're going to try that other case first," and trials got backed up.

Because of that, Mark Hercules was assigned to another prosecutor in anticipation of, per Judge Donnino, this case going to trial first.

When we were -- when he advised we were going directly from hearing into trial, I believe the first time that happened was in April while I was on trial.

THE COURT: And what did you do when he told you that?

MS. JOHNSON: I had advised him, Judge, going hearing into trial, I said, "Judge, I don't know if personally I am going to be ready. I don't know if myself I could be ready," but I was on trial and, in fact, we had another control date which on -- because I did take notes of this because I was concerned that

169

1

something would happen.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Like this would happen?

MS. JOHNSON: On April 16th we conferenced the case with Judge Donnino and counsel in chambers and was marked control for trial April 22nd.

The Court also indicated the Court wanted an April 28th trial as his Honor had indicated I would probably have a verdict by then, which I did not.

In fact, I was still on trial that full day of the 28th.

On April 27th we were back in chambers and I advised the Court that I needed to check the availability of the New York City police officers and the Court indicated -- actually, while we were in chambers the Court indicated we were going directly from hearing to trial.

Then when we went on the record I advised the Court I did not know my witnesses' availability as I did not have control over them because they were New York City police officers. The Court had forgotten about that. This was all before my verdict came in.

Then the Court put it on, I believe, for control on the 29th --

THE COURT: And on the 28th you got your verdict.

MS. JOHNSON: I think at 4:30 or late in the afternoon and, in fact, while counsel was in chambers I had said to the Court, and the Court didn't have a problem with the fact, that I was going to ask for a couple of days to get everything together.

I don't know if the Court meant a couple of days for the hearing or a couple of days from hearing into trial, but I believe counsel was also there when my exact words were, "Judge, I want a couple of days to catch my breath to get everything together because, again, I don't know my witnesses' availability."

THE COURT: Well, then when did you start gathering the Rosario material?

MS. JOHNSON: I had some stuff at the time of grand jury. Everything else I got on Thursday the 30th, when the detective came in with his case jacket on that same day.

THE COURT: At that point you had been sent out for hearings at that point because we started this on the 30th.

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge. The detective was subpoenaed, came to my office around 1 o'clock, I copied his case jacket and we began the hearings in that afternoon.

Today was the first day I met with

Officer Alfaro to gather her Rosario material that I provided to counsel.

Friday as well, I believe, whatever the time of the fax is, was the first time I received the Rosario that was from the Queens DA's Office.

I had requested a day or two adjournment through my office, if it would be possible, and I don't know what conversation transpired between my bosses and Judge Donnino, but apparently an adjournment was not a possibility.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Schechter, does that square with what -I know you're more concerned, and justifiably so, about
making sure you get everything you're entitled to.

MR. SCHECHTER: The minutia of our conversation with Judge Donnino, I don't recall every one, but I do know Judge Donnino was very, very strongly pushing this matter forward for trial and was not really sympathetic with any adjournments and kept this matter on a very tight leash, adjournments no more than a week at a time, so that we could monitor counsel's trial and what was happening.

So I do know that Judge Donnino was pushing this very strongly for trial.

I do not have -- there's a few other things I

have here from counsel (sic).

I don't have external photos of subject's vehicle which apparently were taken, meaning my client's car, which is relevant to this hearing.

And Shulman has memo book entries, I have those, but I don't know if these are the same as the handwritten notes because they make reference to Shulman's handwritten notes. I don't know if they're the same thing or not, but certainly I would be entitled to those things as well.

MS. JOHNSON: Judge, one other thing that occurred during our conferencing was that there was significant -- I don't want to speak for counsel, but there was significant agreement on both my part and counsel's part that it would be more appropriate for the Queens matter to occur first and obviously Mr. Schechter, correct me if I'm wrong, that was certainly not a possibility.

THE COURT: Well, you know, look it, I can appreciate being on trial for the length of time that you were, but unlike perhaps, Mr. Schechter, and I don't even know whether he is or is not, you're not a solo practitioner, you have an office, you have staff.

It sounds as though, to me, that the alarm bells were being run while you were in the middle of

that other trial and, you know, certainly to be now in the middle of this hearing and be getting stuff while witnesses are on the witness stand, and I'm not talking about a page here or a page there, I'm talking about in excess of ten or 15 pages that you handed over this morning, you know, really shouldn't be happening and, you know, at this point, the way things are going, I figure we'll be doing this hearing for the rest of this

So I'll try to move it along, but to me the way this thing is -- as I said, more discussion about Rosario material and we haven't even gotten to the cross-examination of one witness yet.

You're now indicating you may be wanting to call a third witness in the case. You don't know whether or not he or she has generated Rosario material.

We'll have to deal with the schedule as things go on, but I'm just a little bit perplexed about how ready this thing really is, quite frankly.

MR. SCHECHTER: There's another interesting issue, I find it interesting anyway, and that is the issue as to if my client does testify in this matter, the extent and parameters of the cross-examination.

My client has a prior disorderly conduct and

week.

that is -- a Sandoval application has to be made before your Honor with respect to that one prior. It's a disorderly conduct. I think it was on a shoplift several years ago, which has nothing to do with this

Additionally, if the Court recalls, the motion in limine that I submitted to the Court citing, I think, two Court of Appeals opinions and a lower court opinion with respect to the use of currently-charged allegations, which are proscribed by the Court of Appeals, would also affect what elements of the confession should be -- were the Court to consider that the confessions were legally obtained, what parts of the confessions would be utilized because the Court -- because counsel can't do indirectly what she can't do directly; namely, she can't cross-examine him about pending cases and therefore she can't put in on her direct examination the information concerning pending cases.

So what we're going to need to do is an in limine hearing or conference to determine what, if any, parts of that confession could be introduced.

THE COURT: And I'm aware of it, I'm sensitive to it. My law secretary is looking at the material. Obviously, you gave it to me this morning

.

case.

	Shulman - People - cross 175
1	and I haven't even had a chance to look at it.
2	Insofar as your client testifying at the
3	hearing, I'm not of a mind-set to allow some type of
4	freewheeling cross-examination that's going to go
5	beyond the parameters of what you elicited on your
6	direct examination and you can be guided accordingly
7	with that.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: Okay.
9	THE COURT: So, in the meantime, let's get
10	Detective Shulman up here.
11	(Witness resumes the stand.)
12	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, Detective Shulman
13	just advised me the logbook is being faxed over to my
14	office.
15	THE COURT: Okay, great.
16	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
18	All right, Mr. Schechter, whenever you're
19	ready.
20	MR. SCHECHTER: May it please the Court,
21	counsel.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
24	Q. Detective Shulman, good afternoon.
25	Detective Shulman, what is your age?

	Shulman - People - cross 176
1	How old are you?
2	A. Thirty-seven.
3	Q. And you indicated that you were a police officer
4	for 15 years?
5	A. A little over that, yes.
6	Q. Did you have any occupation before you were a
7	police officer?
8	A. Part-time work. I wouldn't say career.
9	Q. What did you do before you became a police
10	officer?
11	A. I was a security guard in Maryland.
12	Q. How long did you have that job?
13	A. Year and a half, maybe.
14	Q. Are you a native New Yorker or do you come from
15	Maryland?
16	A. I'm a native New Yorker.
17	Q. Okay, and you've indicated you had some training
18	at the academy with respect to interrogation of prisoners
19	and interviews of complainants and things of that nature,
20	would that be fair to say?
21	A. I don't know if I've indicated to that, but that

- A. I don't know if I've indicated to that, but that would be accurate, though.
- Q. Did you -- were you taught the Reid method of interrogation, R-e-i-d?
 - A. Not that I can specifically say.

22

23

24

	Shulman - People - cross 177
1	Q. You spent how many years in anti-crime?
2	A. Almost five in a citywide anti-crime unit.
3	Q. Was that any specific detail, narcotics related of
4	street muggings?
5	What, specifically, were your assignments there?
6	A. Violent street crimes.
7	Q. And in the times that you were an anti-crime
8	officer were you working under a silver shield or a gold
9	shield?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: I'll rephrase.
13	Q. Were you a detective or patrolman?
14	A. I was both at varying different times.
15	Q. Well, were you first a patrolman and then a
16	detective or were you a patrolman, detective, patrolman?
17	How did that work?
18	A. I was a patrolman and then I was promoted to
19	detective.
20	Q. While on the anti-crime unit?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And in your duties as an anti-crime officer did
23	you have occasion to utilize informants?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
25	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.

	Shulman - People - cross 178
1	Q. Did you become accustomed to being able to turn
2	people into informants by virtue of your experience and you
3	training?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
5	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
6	Q. Approximately what percentage of your arrests
7	generated confessions and inculpatory statements, detective
8	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
9	THE COURT: As?
10	Q. As an anti-crime officer?
11	THE COURT: If you know.
12	A. I don't know that I could answer that.
13	Q. Would it be more than 10 percent?
14	THE WITNESS: I honestly don't know that I
15	could put a number on it, your Honor?
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	Q. How many times have you testified in court?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
19	THE COURT: I'll allow that.
20	You can answer that.
21	A. Again, I don't know that I could put a number on
22	it, but, you know, over the course of 15 and a half years,
23	many times.
24	Q. Many times.
25	It would be more than 20?

	Shulman - People - cross 179
1	A. Probably
2	Q. More than 30?
3	A. Probably.
4	Q. And what percentage of those involved your taking
5	of statements?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
7	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: I'll except to that one,
9	Judge.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	Q. Did you now, on the day in question you
12	indicated, I believe, on direct examination you were working
13	a 4:30 to 1 o'clock tour?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. Had you made an arrest prior to the end of your
16	tour besides not including Mr. Gopaul?
17	A. I had not, no.
18	Q. So that your tour of duty was scheduled to expire
L9	at 1 a.m. in the morning, would that be correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And you were working what detail?
22	Was that anti-crime you were working that night?
23	A. On the night of Mr. Gopaul's case?
24	Q. Yes, yes.
15	A. No, I was assigned to the 105 Precinct detective

	Shulman - People - cross 180
1	squad as an investigator.
2	Q. And, basically, you were there to pick up whatever
3	came in, would that be fair to say?
4	A. I don't know that I would use those words.
5	Q. Now, you've indicated that your tour ended at
6	1 o'clock, correct?
7	A. My scheduled tour would have been over at
8	1 o'clock.
9	Q. Scheduled tour.
10	And there came a time when you were informed that
11	Mr. Gopaul came into the precinct, is that correct, yes or
12	no?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. And who informed you of that?
15	A. Sergeant O'Hagan, the 105 Precinct desk officer.
16	Q. Where is the desk officer in relation to the square
17	within the 105 Precinct?
18	A. They're on the first floor, I'm on the second
19	floor.
20	Q. And were you present during Mr. Gopaul's arrest?
21	A. No.
22	Q. And do you know who was present during
23	Mr. Gopaul's arrest?
24	A. I don't know, aside from Sergeant O'Hagan.
25	Q. Well, when you first met Mr. Gopaul where was he?

		_			
Shulman	-	People	-	cross	

- A. He was in one of my interview rooms in the detective squad office.
- Q. To your knowledge, had he been fingerprinted and had his mugshot taken?
 - A. No, he had not.
 - Q. Was he under arrest?
 - A. Yes, he was.
- Q. What time did you first see Mr. Gopaul, if you can recall?
- A. Right about 5:10 a.m. on the morning of the 24th of June.
- Q. Officer, if your tour of duty ended at 1 o'clock, what were you doing between 1 a.m. and 5:10 a.m.?
- A. I don't know what I was initially doing after 1 o'clock in the morning, to my recollection, but at some point in time I had been notified by a detective at the detective bureau in Queens that there was an incident being investigated by patrol in the 105 Precinct and that Administration for Children's Services known as ACS --

MR. SCHECHTER: Objection, Judge, that's not responsive to my question.

Q. My question was, what were you doing between 1 a.m., end of your tour of duty, and 5:10 a.m.?

What were you doing?

THE COURT: I think he was telling us.

ws

The objection is overruled.

4 5

Go ahead.

A. Okay, initially, I don't know what

- A. Okay, initially, I don't know what I was doing at exactly 1 o'clock, but at some point in time I was notified that an ACS worker was in the precinct interviewing a victim that was alleging some sort of sexual complaint involving her stepfather and that an investigator should assist in the investigation.
 - Q. What time were you informed of that?

 THE WITNESS: I would have to refer to my report, your Honor.
- Q. Would you please look at your notes and I would like to see what it is you're looking at as well?
- A. Sure, absolutely. Okay, just referring to my complaint follow-up report labeled as follow-up Number 1 and I had noted the time at about 0230 in the morning that I was informed.
- Q. So at 2:30 a.m. you were first informed of ACS investigating suspected child abuse or something like that, would that be fair to say?
- A. A complaint involving a sexual complaint that involved ACS also.
- Q. Okay, so what were you doing from 1 a.m. to 2:30 at the precinct?
 - A. I don't recall specifically.

	Shulman - People - cross 183
1	Q. When you put in for your overtime did you put in
2	for your overtime from 1 a.m. or from 2:30 p.m.?
3	A. I would imagine it would have been from 1 a.m.
4	Q. So that you put in for overtime for what, from 1
5	to 2:30 a.m.?
6	A. I don't recall specifically. I would have to
7	check into it.
8	Q. Isn't it a fact that Harold Gopaul came into the
9	precinct at 2:30 a.m.?
10	Isn't that a fact?
11	A. Not to my knowledge.
12	Q. Isn't it a fact that Sergeant O'Hagan, together
13	with eight other officers, pummeled Mr. Gopaul in the
14	precinct, spread-eagled him over a rail and beat him up?
15	Is that a fact?
16	A. Not to my knowledge and I would find it highly
17	unlikely.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have this marked,
19	please, as Defendant's A?
20	(Defendant's Exhibit A marked for
21	identification.)
22	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have that?
23	(Shown to counsel.)
24	Q. Now, officer, you keep a memo book as part of you
25	required record keeping consistent with your duties as a

Shulman - People - cross 184 police officer, correct? 1 At various points in my career, yes. Do you still keep a memo book? 3 Ο. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. You have it with you? 6 Α. No. 7 Were you told to bring it today? Ο. 8 Α. Not specifically, no. . 9 Q. Now, you've testified over 20 times in a court of 10 law, have you not? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And during those times you testified in a court of 13 law weren't you directed to bring your memo book with you by 14 the District Attorney? 15 Α. Not always. 16 Let me show you what's being marked as Defendant's 17 A for identification. 18 (Shown to witness.) 19 Q. Is this a copy of your memo entry? 20 No, it's not. Α. 21 It's not? ٥. 22 Α. No. 23 Did you provide this information to the District Q. 24 Attorney's Office? 25 Α. No, I did not.

	Shulman - People - cross 185
1	Q. Do you know who provided that to the District
2	Attorney's Office?
3	A. I don't know specifically who provided it to the
4	District Attorney's Office.
5	Q. Is that first page?
6	Is that your memo book?
7	A. This is not my memo book, no.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: May I show it to the District
9	Attorney?
10	MS. JOHNSON: It's probably Officer Alfaro's,
11	Judge.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have it, please?
13	(Shown to counsel.)
14.	Q. Did you keep a memo book in connection with this
15	case?
16	A. I don't recall making any entries other than my
17	tour of duty in regards to this case.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: I would like this to be
19	marked, please, as Defendant's B for identification?
20	(Defendant's Exhibit B marked for
21	identification.)
22	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have that also?
23	(Shown to counsel.)
24	Q. Do you recall preparing or having prepared at your
25	direction a 105 Squad complaint follow-up index sheet?

	Shulman - People - cross 186
1	A. Yes.
2	MR. SCHECHTER: May I approach the witness,
3	your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Just give it to my officer.
5	MR. SCHECHTER: Officer, please show this to
6	the witness.
7	(Shown to witness.)
8	Q. Is that a document you prepared as part of your
9	duties as a detective at the 105 Precinct?
LO '	A. Yes.
LI ,	Q. Now, you'll is that document kept in the
L2 .	ordinary course of business of the New York City Police
L3	Department?
L 4	A. On some occasions, yes.
L 5	Q. Is it the ordinary course of business of the
-6	Police Department to keep that document?
7	A. Again, on some occasions, yes.
. 8	Q. And were you under a duty to maintain that
9	document?
0 2	A. Pardon me?
1	Q. Were you under a business duty to maintain that
22	document on behalf of the Police Department?
23	A. I don't know if I was under a business duty, but
4	did prepare it.
5	Q. Is it as part of your duties?

187

1	A. As part of my documentation of my case, yes.
2	Q. And are the entries that are on that piece of
3	paper, were they made basically at the same time as the
4	incidents for which you made up those documents?
5	You made up that paper about the same time you
6	rendered all the other paperwork in this case, did you not?
.7	A. Probably not at the exact same time.
8	At some point in time I take my cumulative case
9	and I organize it and I package it in a case folder and I
10	document what I'm including in my case folder.
11	Q. This is within at least one or two days from the
12	time that you did all your paperwork, would that be fair to
13	say?
14	A. Probably.
15	Q. Okay.
16	MR. SCHECHTER: I offer this as in
17	evidence, your Honor, as Defendant's B.
18	THE COURT: People?
19	(Shown to counsel.)
20	MS. JOHNSON: No objection.
21	THE COURT: Okay, so B will be received in
22	evidence.
23	(Defendant's Exhibit B received in evidence.)
24	MR. SCHECHTER: Please show it to the

25

witness.

	Shulman - People - cross 188
	(Chorm to witness)
1	(Shown to witness.)
2	Q. I direct your attention to the entry marked M, as
3	in Mary.
4	What does that say?
5	A. It says M and then it says Shulman's handwritten
6	notes.
7	Q. I call for the production of your handwritten
8	nets, Detective Shulman.
9	MS. JOHNSON: I have them, Judge.
10	Prior to Detective Shulman testifying I
11	reviewed them with him. They're conversations he had
12	with the complainant.
13	In fact, Judge, there is one page in the
14	Rosario material that was relevant for the hearing tha
15	counsel was provided that's indicated, Number 13,
16	Shulman's notes.
17	Does the Court wish to see the other notes?
18	THE COURT: Are you asking me to look at
19	them, Mr. Schechter?
20	MR. SCHECHTER: I would like to see the note
21	counsel is referring to at the very least anyway,
22	Judge. I don't recall seeing any other handwritten
23	entries. If she could show me what she says relates to
24	this I would appreciate it.

MS. JOHNSON: It's itemized in the Rosario

Shulman - People - cross 189 material turned over on October -- August -- excuse me, 2 April 30th and it says Shulman's handwritten notes --3 Detective Shulman notes. MR. SCHECHTER: If I might see this I might 5 be able to obviate that. All of this could have been avoided if all the Rosario was turned over. 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: It looks like this -- like a 8 memo book. MR. SCHECHTER: I don't recall getting that 9 document. 10 (Shown to Court.) 11 12 THE COURT: What are you handing me now, 13 Shulman's entire notes? MS. JOHNSON: Yes, including the 14 15 conversations with the complainant, his handwritten 16 notes with regards to that. THE COURT: All right, have you shown 17 18 Mr. Schechter the one -- the page that you did provide? 19 MS. JOHNSON: I'm looking for it in here, 20 Judge. 21 Your Honor, it is a page that says contacted 22 June 30th, 2008. It has Mr. Schechter's name on it. 23 It's actually handwriting. 24 MR. SCHECHTER: I didn't know. It was just 25 nondescript.

Shulman - People - cross 190 THE COURT: I'm sorry. 1 2 MR. SCHECHTER: I did not know that that was the officer's handwriting. There was nothing to 3 indicate where this came from. 5 MS. JOHNSON: That's why there's a cover page and it says Shulman's note. 6 7 MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I respectfully call for the production of the officer's memo book in 8 this matter. I don't -- he says he didn't bring it, no 9 one told him to bring it. 10 11 I think it strains credulity that an experienced police officer doesn't know he needs his 12 13 memo book when he's testifying, however I will require the memo book to continue my cross-examination after I 14 finish today with the officer. 15 THE COURT: Well, is the -- is what you've 16 17 shown me, People, the copy of his memo book? 18 MS. JOHNSON: That's what was taken out of 19 his file, Judge, but I believe he said that he doesn't 20 always keep a memo book for all cases so, I don't think 21 we've crossed the bridge whether or not he actually 22 made notations in a memo book on this case. 23 THE WITNESS: There would have been no 24 notations in a memo book on the date in question

involving the case other than my possible work

schedule.

б

MR. SCHECHTER: See, your Honor, the memo book also has a chronology of what he did on his tour and I want to see that.

THE COURT: Well, why don't you ask him that.

MR. SCHECHTER: Because, your Honor, I don't have any means of impeaching him in the event he does not - with all due respect, officer - testify truthfully during the times everything happened in this case and I certainly would be entitled, before I ask him those questions, to have that material before me so I could use it for impeachment material.

I would ask him other questions, however I would still reserve my right to cross-examine him about his memo book and I request he be directed to bring it tomorrow.

THE COURT: What is it you expect to find it in the memo book?

He said there was no entries related to this investigation on that particular date.

Am I correct, detective?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. SCHECHTER: With all due respect to the detective I am not constrained to accept anything he says with respect to what there is or there isn't.

192

Under People v. Rosario I am entitled to have 1 that information. If that information is of no 2 moment --3 THE COURT: You want me to inspect his memo 4 book on that particular day to see whether or not his 5 entries that pertain to what he's testified to --6 MR. SCHECHTER: Even if the entries do not 7 pertain specifically to this case, the chronology based 8 upon his testimony here is important in this case and 9 10 I'm entitled to question him about the chronology of events preceding this incident up to and including this 11 incident. 12 13 THE COURT: Let me ask you this, detective. Did you have a memo book -- do you have --14 15 did you have a memo book with you on that day -- on this date, the 24th or the 23rd of June? 16 THE WITNESS: Again, your Honor, the only 17 18 thing I would have put in, if I had filled it out that 19 day, would have been my tour of duty, present for duty 20 and end of tour. 21 Everything else is documented on my 22

complaint follow-ups indicating times that I'm doing different things.

23

24

25

Unlike a patrol officer, I'm not required every time I get a radio run to make a memo book entry.

	Shulman - People - cross 193
1	THE COURT: I understand that.
2	Now, do you still have that memo book
3 .	available?
4	THE WITNESS: I would have to check to
5	determine.
6	THE COURT: All right, I'm going to ask that
7	you bring it with you tomorrow.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you, Judge.
9	Q. Now, detective, at what time did you first begin
10	speaking to the complainant?
11	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
12	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, may I have I'm
14	not asking what he asked her and what she asked him.
15	I'm asking a chronology of time when he first
16	spoke to her.
17	THE COURT: And what bearing would that have
18	on the issues that we have to address here?
19	MR. SCHECHTER: If the Court wants me to have
20	an offer of proof I would be delighted to give it,
21	absent the officer being on the witness stand.
22	THE COURT: All right, officer, you want to
23	step out detective, I should say?
24	(Witness steps down.)
25	MR. SCHECHTER: I have reason to believe that

Shulman - People - cross

the events that the officer is testifying to occurred hours before he said they occurred.

As such, the memo book entries are crucial, when he started speaking to the complainant is crucial, because my client, when he testifies, will interlock with that and I'm entitled to go into that simply -- I know what happened. She went to the precinct. She went to the precinct with two other people and she made a statement to him as did possibly the two other people.

I am entitled to know, your Honor, and I apologize for pointing with a pen, I am entitled to know when that happened. I am entitled to develop a chronology of events and not be so restricted doing so, so I can find out exactly what the time picture is here. This is very important.

THE COURT: And there's nothing in the Rosario material that reflects when he spoke to her?

MR. SCHECHTER: That involves his talking to the complainant. They don't give me that stuff.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, what does his -- I still don't see what his offer of proof -- any conversations Detective Shulman had with the complainant prior to any contact with the defendant is irrelevant for purposes of Huntley or for purposes of

Shulman - People - cross 195 It would be before any contact with the 1 defendant. 2 MR. SCHECHTER: That is --3 4 THE COURT: All right, let me ask you this, Mr. Schechter. 5 6 Other than the time when he initially spoke 7 or met with the complainant, what other questions, just so we can anticipate this while he's outside, do you -8 9 expect to ask him with regard to chronology? MR. SCHECHTER: I'm going to ask him how many 10 times he spoke to him. 11 12 THE COURT: Him? MR. SCHECHTER: To her, thank you, and how 13 14 many times he spoke to my client and thereafter spoke 15 to the complaint and thereafter spoke to my client. I 16 want to get this chronology, Judge. 17 THE COURT: All right, let's bring him back I'll allow you to ask those questions. 18 in. 19 MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you. 20 (Witness resumes the stand.) 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 Ο. Officer, when for the first time did you speak to 23 the complaining witness that evening? 24 I believe it's probably about 3:20 in the morning, 25 to my recollection, on the morning of the 24th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hours, yes.

Shulman - People - cross 196 And when you spoke to her who was present? Q. Just myself and the victim. And after speaking to her you took notes of what Q. she was saying, yes or no? Α. Yes. And there came a time when you spoke to my client after you spoke to her, sometime thereafter, is that correct? Α. Yes. Q. Do you recall when you first spoke to my client? Again, I believe it was about 5:10 in the morning. Α. Q. So that would be about two hours after you spoke to the complaining witness? Well, almost two hours from the time I initially started speaking to the complainant. How -- for what -- how long of a period of time Q. were you speaking to her, that first time? Α. I spoke to her pretty substantially that first time before I interrupted to speak to your client. So it would be fair to say you spent two hours speaking to her the first time you spoke to her? Α. I may have taken a couple of little breaks in

Q. After speaking to her -- withdrawn.

between, but a considerable amount of time during that wo

After speaking to my client the first time did you go back and speak to her again?

- A. Yes, I spoke to her several times during the course of the day.
- Q. So it would be fair to say that you were speaking to the complainant from approximately 3:10 in the morning until about 8:30 in the morning?
 - A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Were you speaking to the complainant from 3:30 in the morning, off and on, until approximately 8:30 in the morning?
- A. Over the course of the day I spoke to her several different times.
- Q. When is the last time you spoke to her, if you can recall?
- A. Again, I spoke to her on and off at various points during the -- all day, well into the evening.
 - Q. Are you saying we're in the evening?

 Are we not at --
 - A. Into the next afternoon.
- Q. Was she always in the precinct when you spoke to her?
- A. When I spoke to her, but she had left the precinct at some point in time during the day.
 - Q. And did she come back to the precinct to speak to

Sildillian - People - Cross 198
you again?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, each time you spoke to Mr. Gopaul did you go
back and speak to the complainant?
A. Not each time, no.
Q. There came a time when you first spoke to
Mr. Gopaul, you said, at approximately 5:10, is that
correct?
A. That's correct.
MR. SCHECHTER: Now, before we get to that,
would like these photographs marked Defendant's C, D, H
and F, your Honor.
THE COURT: C,D,E and F.
(Defendant's Exhibits C,D,E and F marked for
identification.)
Q. Now, officer, would you please look at Defendant's
Exhibits C, D, E and F?
(Shown to witness.)
Q. Please keep them in the order.
A. Okay.
Q. Now, I direct your attention first to Exhibit C.
Does that photograph fairly and accurately
represent the outside of the 105 Precinct?
A. Part of it.
Q. Part of it, the front entrance, right?

MR. SCHECHTER: Okay, I ask that be marked in evidence as Defendant's C.

THE COURT: All right, to kind of move things along, I take it, Mr. Schechter, you're going to be moving all of these in.

MR. SCHECHTER: All of them, yes.

THE COURT: Are you going to have any objection to them, Ms. Johnson?

MS. JOHNSON: As long as they're fair and accurate as to how it looked on June 24th, 2008.

Let me see it again because this is the first time I'm seeing them. If that's the case, I have no objection for purposes of the hearing.

(Shown to counsel.)

THE COURT: And, detective, those photographs, whatever they depict there, fairly and accurately represent what those areas or places looked like on June 24th, 2008?

THE WITNESS: I would believe so.

I mean, the only thing is, E and F, you know, don't show the full capacity of the room, I mean, just because of the nature of the picture being taken from outside of the doorway.

MR. SCHECHTER: I'll get to that.

Α.

Yes.

	Shulman - People - cross 200
1	THE COURT: Other than that
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, other than that I would
3	imagine it's fairly close.
4	THE COURT: You're offering them?
5	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, Judge, I am.
6	THE COURT: Would you mark them, please?
7	(Defendant's Exhibits C,D,E and F received i
8	evidence.)
9	MR. SCHECHTER: May I have them, please?
10	(Shown to counsel.)
11	Q. Officer, let me direct your attention to
12	Defendant's Exhibit D in evidence.
13	(Shown to witness.)
14	Q. Is that the outside door to what is commonly
15	called at the 105 Precinct the box?
16	A. Well, I mean it's a door to an interview room.
17	Q. Is it known in the 105 Precinct as the box?
18	A. Not this room per se. Interview rooms in general
19	are referred to that.
20	Q. All interview rooms are known as the box?
21	A. To my knowledge.
22	Q. So now, looking at that picture could you
23	please read what's on the door there?
24	A. 221 for the room number. There's a sticker that
25	says proper tactics saves lives.

Shulman - People - cross 201 0. On the door? 1 2 Α. That's on the door. 3 And at the time of this picture there's a sign 4 that says interview room, complainants only. Was that sign on that room when you spoke to 5 Q. 6 Mr. Gopaul in June? I don't know. Could have been, I don't know. 7 8 What is the purpose of having a room saying 9 complainants only? 10 Don't know. I didn't put the sign up. 11 Q. But you brought Mr. Gopaul into that room, would 12 that be fair to say? 13 Α. No, I didn't. Who brought him up into that room? 14 Q. 15 Α. One of the patrol officer officers. 16 Q. What's his name? 17 Α. I don't know which officer brought him up. 18 Ο. A uniform officer or a detective? Would have been a uniform officer. 19 Α. 20 And at whose direction would he have brought Q. 21 Mr. Gopaul up? 22 I had spoken to Sergeant O'Hagan and asked 23 Mr. Gopaul be brought upstairs and whoever he directed to 24 bring him upstairs would have brought him upstairs.

So at the time Mr. Gopaul was placed in that room

25

Q.

you were not there, is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. No, I was in the other interview room with the victim.
 - O. That's correct.

So, for the purposes of the record, at the time that Mr. Gopaul was brought up to that room you were not in that room, is that correct?

- A. I think I just answered that.
- Q. No, you really didn't, officer.

The question is, at the time Mr. Gopaul was brought into that room were you in that room, yes or no?

- A. No.
- Q. Now, does Police Department procedure mandate that a prisoner who is left in a room be handcuffed to a rail or some fixed object?
 - A. Depends on the circumstances.
- Q. Well, isn't that done so that the person who is in that room to be interrogated will not do harm either to himself or the interrogating officer?
 - A. Pardon me?
- Q. Isn't that rule in effect so that the person being interrogated does not do physical harm either to himself or the officer who is interrogating him?
- A. That could be one interpretation of that rule, yes.

	Shulman - People - cross 203
1	Q. Yet you said Mr. Gopaul was not handcuffed, is
2	that correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Where were you sitting in relation to the back
5	wall when you were interviewing Mr. Gopaul?
6	You may look at the picture, please, to aid you i
7	you need it.
8 .	A. I have the picture with the closed door.
9	Q. Okay, I'm sorry, I'm wrong.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Let me have this marked as
11	Defendant's G.
12	THE COURT: It's already marked, in evidence
13	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm sorry, Defendant's F.
14	I'm sorry, let me show the officer Defendant's F.
15	(Shown to witness.)
16	A. Okay, could you repeat your question, please?
17	Q. Yes.
18	My question is where were you sitting in relation
19	to Mr. Gopaul?
20	Let me make it easier for you. Where were you
21	sitting withdraw the question.
22	Where were you sitting and where was Mr. Gopaul
23	sitting at the time you interviewed him?
24	A. I don't know if these are the exact chairs that
25	were in the room on that day, but relative to this picture,

the red and black chair would have been the position that Mr. Gopaul was seated in and then the black and gray chair on the opposite side of the table would have been where I was sitting.

- Q. So that your back would be towards the door and Mr. Gopaul would be facing the door, would that be fair to say?
 - A. That would be accurate, yes.

(Shown to Court.)

- Q. Were you the only officer in the room?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Now, there is a window into that room, with a cover on the window, is that correct?
 - A. Sometimes it's covered, sometimes it's not.
- Q. Well, was it covered at the time that you spoke to Mr. Gopaul?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And when you came in to see Mr. Gopaul you say you locked your weapon in your office?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Where is your office?
- A. The area that my weapon is secured is out -- I can't really describe it from, I don't think, from this picture, but there's another doorway right here and then there's a half wall that comes all the way across separating

205

this whole section of the office from a whole another part of our office and on the opposite end of that larger office is where I have my desk.

It's probably, you know, I don't want to swear to it, a measurement that I haven't measured, but probably a good 12, 15, feet outside of that door entranceway locked up in my desk.

- Q. Did you place the weapon inside the holster inside your desk or was the weapon placed inside the desk and you still held the holster?
 - A. I don't recall.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I probably, just not to take my whole belt off, probably would have just taken the weapon out and put it in the desk.

- Q. Now, when you first came into the room how was Mr. Gopaul dressed?
- A. He was wearing some sort of uniform. I believe it had a Ecolab patch on the arms.
 - Q. What color was the shirt?
 - A. I don't recall specifically.
 - Q. And was there a tie with that shirt?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. And do you recall the color of his pants?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Do you recall whether the shirt went into the

206

1 pants or not?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Mr. Gopaul was seated, so I don't remember noticing if his shirt was tucked in or not.
- Q. When you saw Mr. Gopaul had you asked him whether he had eaten?
 - A. I don't believe I had that conversation with him.
- Q. Did you ask him whether he had slept within the past 24 hours?
 - A. I hadn't had that conversation with him.
- Q. As part of your training are you not told in the academy that the first thing you do when you when you're questioning a suspect is to get rough with him and then, after you're rough with him, try to make him your friend?

Is that part of your training at the Police Academy?

MS. JOHNSON: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

You can answer.

- A. No, it's not.
- Q. The first time that you spoke to Mr. Gopaul Mr. Gopaul freely told you that he had this incident with his daughter at a park, I think you indicated, is that correct?
 - A. Pardon me?
 - Q. The first time you interviewed Mr. Gopaul he

24

207

explained to you that he had an incident involving his daughter Sana at an amusement park, would that be correct?

- A. He indicates they had an argument and that he slapped her and then I believe in his written statement he spells out that it was revolving around an incident that had occurred at some sort of fair or festive park event.
- Q. And he gave you that statement, is that correct, written?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And that statement is already in evidence. We've seen it introduced in evidence in this courtroom, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, after you received that statement where did you go?
- A. At Mr. Gopaul's request I took him to the restroom and allowed him to use the facilities. I then brought him back to the interview room and then he stayed in the interview room for a short while while I did other duties.
- Q. When you took him to -- you personally took him to the restroom?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Where was the officer who brought him to the interview room?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. By Police Department regulation was he required to

Shulman - People - cross 208 be handcuffed when he went to the -- was brought to the 1 2 interview room within the precinct? When he was brought to where? 3 When he was placed from -- withdrawn. 4 Q. 5 Where was Mr. Gopaul prior to being brought into the interview room? 6 Somewhere downstairs. 7 Α. Are there holding pens downstairs? 8 Q. 9 A. There are. 10 Q. Where are they located? Behind the desk. 11 Α. 12 Q. Behind the sergeant's desk? Yes. 13 Α. 14 And were there any prisoners in there besides Q. 15 Mr. Gopaul? I don't know that Mr. Gopaul was in there or not 16 prior to coming up to my office. 17 Q. You don't know where he was, then, would that be 18 fair to say? 19 20 Α. No. 21 THE COURT: Is that no? 22 THE WITNESS: No, once I was informed that he was in custody I directed that he be brought up to my 23 24 office and within a short time thereafter he was 25 brought up into my office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Gopaul?

Α.

No.

Shulman - People - cross 209 When you made this direction was this by Q. telephone? Α. Yes. And you were sitting by your desk? Q. I was at one of the desks in my office. Q. And when Mr. Gopaul was brought up was he brought up in handcuffs or was he brought up without handcuffs? Α. Again, I didn't see him brought up, but when I first saw him he was not handcuffed, but he was in a secure interview room. Is there a key to that room? Q. No. Α. So that room could be opened and closed freely, is Ο. that what you're saying? Well, no, if you're securing somebody in there, as you can see in the picture, there is a clasp on the door so you can secure the door. From the outside? Q. From the outside. Α. Did you have your handcuffs with you when you interviewed Mr. Gopaul? Α. Yes. Q. Did you have to take the handcuffs off of

	Sindiman - People - Closs 210
1	Q. All right, so you order Mr. Gopaul to be brought
2	up from downstairs.
3	How long after you made that telephone call was
4	Mr. Gopaul brought to the room?
5	A. Not too long. I mean, I don't know exact time
6	frame because I went back in to speak to the victim.
7	Q. Is it your testimony that after you asked
8	Mr. Gopaul to be brought back to the room you went back to
9	speak to the victim again?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What was your purpose in speaking to the victim
12	again?
13	A. I was still speaking to the victim. I hadn't
14	concluded speaking to the victim.
15	Q. Did the victim know Mr. Gopaul was in that room?
16	A. Not at that time, no.
17	MR. SCHECHTER: May I show the witness
18	Exhibit E?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Can I see which one it is?
20	(Shown to counsel.)
21	(Shown to witness.)
22	Q. Now, officer, Exhibit E fairly represents the
23	other part of the room that were not in the photographs, the
24	other half of the room width-wise, would that be fair to

25

say?

	Shulman - People - cross 211
1	A. The back portion.
2	The front corner is cut off, again, by the angle
3 .	of the picture, but it shows the back right corner.
4	Q. How tall are you, officer?
5	A. About five ten.
6	Q. How much do you weigh?
7	A. 250, 260.
8	Q. Now, as a police officer you're trained to
9	recognize the heights and weights of various witnesses or
10	defendants, isn't that correct?
11	A. Well, I don't know that you can train that.
12	Q. Did you make a determination how tall and how much
13	Mr. Gopaul weighs?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
15	THE COURT: Did he make a determination as to
16	how much Mr. Gopaul weighed?
17	Is that the question?
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Did you make that determination?
20	THE WITNESS: I don't think I had to think
21	about that at the moment.
22	Q. Would it be fair to say that you on June 8th
23	you outweighed Mr. Gopaul by, easily, 70 pounds?
24	A. On what date?
25	Q. On June I'm sorry, on June 24th, 2008?

Shulman - People - cross 212 I don't know how much I outweighed him by, but I 1 Α. 2 would imagine I outweighed him. Isn't it a fact when you first got Mr. Gopaul in 3 4 the room you grabbed him by his collar and threw him against the wall, holding on to the collar, and then grabbing, 5 6 maintaining holding on to the collar, you dragged him back 7 towards you? Isn't that a fact when you first saw him in the 8 9 room --10 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 11 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 12 A. Absolutely not. 13 MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, can I please play 14 the tape, first part of the tape, videotape, for the officer? 15 16 THE COURT: You can play it tomorrow because 17 we're going to break at this point. 18 MR. SCHECHTER: Okay, I just wanted the officer to look at the tape. 19 20 All right, we're breaking now? 21 THE COURT: Because I have a prisoner I have to deal with, so I want to give my officers enough time 22 23 to get her downstairs. 24 MR. SCHECHTER: Would the Court kindly direct 25 the officer not to discuss his testimony with

Officer Alfaro?

I did notice there was conversation, but I don't know what it was about.

THE COURT: Detective, you can speak to Officer Alfaro, just not about your testimony.

We'll see you back here tomorrow morning.

MS. JOHNSON: At the Court's direction, I sent subpoenas for Officer Alfaro and Detective Shulman tomorrow.

Officer Alfaro has already been subpoenaed for two other matters, one being a Queens County Criminal Court case and another being a traffic court case.

I advised her this is an ongoing hearing and my position was that this matter took precedence.

She indicated that traffic court is from 8:30 until 10 o'clock in the morning anyway, and I had indicated to her that she would have to come here after that, but I believe she has personal appointments scheduled for tomorrow that she already did -- that she had already had scheduled.

THE COURT: All right, look it, she's under subpoena. I expect her to be here.

MS. JOHNSON: And I would inform the precinct, as I did yesterday, this is an on going

A conference and an experience of the party of the conference of

ws

1 2

hearing, this takes precedence to other matters and I thank the Court for the Court's phone call to assist with witnesses. THE COURT: All right, thank you. Detective, we'll see you tomorrow. (Witness steps down.) (Proceedings adjourned to Tuesday, May 5th, 2009 at 9:30 a.m.)

215 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 1 COUNTY OF NASSAU : CRIMINAL TERM PART 80 2 3 Indictment THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, No. 2415N/084 -against-5 Sex Abuse 1 HAROLD GOPAUL, 6 Huntley/Mapp Defendant. Hearings 7 May 5, 2009 8 252 Old Country Road 9 Mineola, New York 10 BEFORE: 11 12 HONORABLE JAMES P. McCORMACK, Acting Supreme Court Justice 13 14 APPEARANCES: (As Previously Noted.) 15 16 17 THE CLERK: This is a continued hearing of 18 Harold Gopaul, Indictment 2415N of 2008. 19 Are the People ready? 20 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 21 Can I place a couple of things on the record? 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MS. JOHNSON: I handed counsel a copy of 24 25 Detective Shulman's memo book from the date of

incident. It's two pages. It's the cover page of his actual book and the entries.

I've also handed counsel a Xerox copy of photographs as part of the People's continuing discovery. I indicated to him that we were not even sure if we were going to be using them on our direct case.

I've also handed a copy of a fax that I received regarding the logbook from the command dated Tuesday, June 24th, 2008. That's what was faxed over to my office yesterday.

I don't know if your Honor wants to deal with the other issues now with the uniformed officer or just get this going and we can do it after the detective is done.

THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, you received those items, I take it?

MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I received what appears to be the portion of Detective Shulman's memo pad for June 24, 2008 and I received a portion of the logbook for June 24th, 2008.

Unfortunately, it's missing a very crucial time period of midnight to 5:57 p.m. -- a.m. and therefore it's incomplete.

As I explained earlier, I requested the

б

ws

entire logbook for June 24th, including the early morning hours, which is where all the activities we're dealing with here took place.

THE COURT: All right, People, I think that's a pretty fair assessment of the logbook. It does appear that there's a page that's missing. The page I have begins at 0558 hours, which is approximately 6 a.m., and ends at 8:45, so --

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask you, at some point during the break, if you want to have Detective Schulman contact the 105 and fax that page to your office?

MS. JOHNSON: Absolutely, your Honor.

And yesterday when I had asked them to fax it over I asked for June 24th and this is what they sent, but I will absolutely ask to have that faxed over.

THE COURT: It seems at this point there is a great deal of miscommunication between your office, yourself, and the -- these officers in this case.

I've been sitting here since 9:30. Is -this detective doesn't understand that he's supposed to
be here at 9:30 or is he being told to come at some
other time?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, the teletypes

which, in fact, I provided a copy to the Court, are for continuation for the hearings at 9:30.

The detective, when he finished his tour last night, responded back to the precinct to pick up his case jacket. It's my understanding, I guess, that 9:30 was for him to be at the command for him to pick up his case jacket and then to come to court.

I did advise him that hearings begin as soon as he gets here at 9:30. The Court is ready to proceed at 9:30. I believe your Honor knows I was obviously here at 9:30 -- in my office at 9:30.

I am at the mercy of the Court to at least have the Court advise --

THE COURT: I plan to, but before I open my mouth, if you will, I want to be sure he's not going to tell me he's being told to come here at some other time.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, he advised me that he was going to get here as soon as he could. I told him, "We continue as soon as you get here at 9:30. The subpoena is for 9:30."

He says he has to go from his home to Queens to pick up the case jacket. I even called him on his cell phone when he was running late.

THE COURT: All right, I'll speak to him when

he comes in.

What's the issue with this other officer?

Are we going to have her today?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, what the Court has before the Court is a copy of the teletype that was sent on May 1st for Officer Alfaro to appear here for continuation of hearing, today being May 5th.

I spoke to police liaison. They faxed me that information. A copy of the teletype that your Honor has confirms that the NYPD received communication.

However, as I indicated yesterday,

Officer Alfaro had already been subpoenaed for traffic

court and for another criminal case in Queens County.

I advised her that it was my belief that a pending hearing took precedence to both of those matters.

When I called the 105 Command they advised me that traffic court takes precedence to all other matters because if the officer does not show, the ticket --

THE COURT: What traffic court are we referring to?

I mean, not that I -- in my mind, I can't imagine traffic court taking any precedence over a

County Court matter.

б

MS. JOHNSON: I agree with your Honor and that was the PD's policy. They said traffic court takes precedence over other matters. I asked them if that was the policy. They said yes.

Either way, your Honor, the officer informed me that she was never notified by the command to appear here for today, despite that subpoena that clearly indicates that she was notified five days ago and that the command received the notification.

THE COURT: Is there somebody -- when you say command, are you talking about the 105th Precinct?

MS. JOHNSON: That is correct, Judge. Their actual notification goes through a records bureau and goes through another channel.

We were told that they need 24 hours notice.

Here they've had four days notice that we were going to need her.

Even if she knew that we were going to need her for today, which I had advised her of, she is ordered to go to the traffic court and go to the other matters which she is officially notified through the official channels. So even though she knew about this --

THE COURT: Well, she was here yesterday.

MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Obviously, she wouldn't have come here if she didn't have a subpoena to come here.

MS. JOHNSON: Absolutely. And the precinct's position and the PD's position is that she was already notified for traffic court and for Criminal Court and although we sent these, she was not officially notified to come today.

She was working last night. She was working at the precinct because she's a night officer and we complied with their 24-hour notification four days ago.

I don't know why their records bureau or whoever is in charge of it there never actually said to her, "Here is your subpoena from four days ago. You must come either after traffic court or after Criminal Court to Nassau County."

THE COURT: She's in traffic court where, Nassau County, Queens?

MS. JOHNSON: I believe it's the city, Judge.
I don't know.

The other issue is that because she is a night officer and her official notification is for -- that she was notified from the command for traffic court and Criminal Court, she is not permitted to come here without the official notification, even though she

actually knew about it, because the command didn't officially tell her she must appear in court.

MR. SCHECHTER: Judge, may I be heard?
THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. SCHECHTER: The system of traffic court in New York City is that the traffic court is separate from the Criminal Court unless they're dealing with matters involving driving with a suspended license or driving while intoxicated.

Any unclassified VTL misdemeanor is done in Criminal Court. All of the regular traffic infractions, speeding, going through a red light, that is done in traffic court which is completely the lowest end of the criminal spectrum.

Additionally, Criminal Court never takes precedence over County or Supreme Court, Judge.

I'm beginning to smell a rat here with the superstructure of the 105 Precinct. They only supplied part of the documentation that was supposed to be supplied to us, Judge, they've been stone walling us, there are inconsistencies that will manifest itself with respect to this officer's tours and I think there's something in the nature of, I don't want to say cover-up, but certainly I'm beginning to smell a rat with respect to the 105 Precinct. They are not

223

cooperating with this Court and I don't understand why. Which is why normally I respectfully ask the Courts to direct witnesses to be here the next day so the witness has no squeaking room because if he violates that it's a contempt of court. 5 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I even went so far 6 as we already sent a subpoena for Officer Alfaro for 7 8 I didn't know what the Court's or Mr. Schechter's schedule was, but to avoid any problem, 9 that was done over an hour and a half ago to make 10 sure --11 12 13 14

1

2

3

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, well, let me --

MS. JOHNSON: While your Honor steps off the bench can I have Detective Schulman call the precinct to have that other page faxed?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

(The witness, Leonard Schulman, having previously been sworn, resumed the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Detective, I need you here at 9:30.

I understand you work at nights?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, I can't lose an hour and a half or an hour. Like I said to you a couple of

days ago, we're under a tight schedule and I need you here -- I don't know where your other officer is.

If there's an emergency, I understand. If something comes up, you have the DA's cell phone, call her. I'm sitting here for an hour and a half doing nothing.

THE WITNESS: I was here at 9:30 yesterday morning, your Honor, and this morning I believe I was able to park the car about 10:15. I apologize. I was a little bit late. There was a little bit of traffic with the rain and all.

THE COURT: Ms. Johnson, when was this subpoena for Officer Alfaro sent because my law secretary is being told --

MS. JOHNSON: This is the fax. This 9:12 -- LAW SECRETARY: Back to you?

MS. JOHNSON: Back to me from the liaison for the Court.

Right here, your Honor, it says May 1st, 2009 up here. That top fax is them faxing it over to me this morning.

LAW SECRETARY: It's a subpoena or a teletype?

MS. JOHNSON: It's a teletype.

LAW SECRETARY: They're saying they don't

1.

	Shulman - People - cross 225
1	have a subpoena and no record of her needing to be here
2	today.
3	MS. JOHNSON: That's the receipt of it on
4	top.
5	THE COURT: Ask them what they need and we'll
6	make sure they get it.
7	THE CLERK: Detective, you're reminded that
8	you're still under oath.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Shall I proceed, your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: If the Court pleases, I'm
13	just trying to find my last question and answer.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION CONT'D
15	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
16	Q. Now, Detective Schulman, you recall testifying
17	yesterday that on June 24th you were working a 4:30-to-1
18	tour?
19	Do you recall testifying to that?
20	A. From the night of the 23rd into the morning of the
21	24th.
22	Q. Right, 23rd into 0100 a.m.
23	On the 24th you say you were working an 8 to 4:30
24	tour?
25	A. Due to the fact that I was still working on the

226 Shulman - People - cross Gopaul case and the Awan case at 8 o'clock in the morning, I 1 went back on a day tour. 2 Were you in court at 8 a.m. in the morning? 3 No. Α. 4 Q. Where were you? 5 I was in my office. Α. 6 Was anybody with you? 7 Q. Probably. 8 Α. Who was with you? 9 Q. I don't know specifically who was working. 10 Α. Was it an officer or was it any of the witnesses? 11 Q. Mr. Gopaul was in my office. 12 Α. At 8 a.m.? 13 Q. Yes. 14 Α. And he was in your office or in the interrogation 15 Q. 16 room? He's in the interview room which is in my office. 17 Α. 18 Q. Oh, I see. 19 So it would be fair to say you worked straight through from 4:30 through 4:30 the next day? 20 21 I worked through the next afternoon into the I would have to look at the memo book to see 22 evening. exactly what time I finished that day. 23

24

25

Q.

Okay, please do.

I actually worked until 9:33 p.m. Tuesday evening

	Shulman - People - cross 227
1 .	and then I went back on duty again from 9:33 p.m. to 6:06
2	the next morning.
3	Q. Is that all overtime?
4	A. No.
5	Q. What part was overtime, officer?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
7	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: Now, I would like if the
9	officer would I be able to ask the officer to sit in
10	the jury box so that he could view the videotape,
11	Judge?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	Detective, if you would just have a seat
14	where you were yesterday for a moment?
15	(Witness steps down.)
16	MR. SCHECHTER: Now, your Honor, I
17	respectfully ask counsel to turn the videotape on right
18	now.
19	Now, could you freeze that, please?
20	Q. Now, officer, I draw your attention to
21	Mr. Gopaul's image in that videotape.
22	Can you see him clearly?
23	A. Pretty much.
24	Q. Would you need to go closer?
25	A. No.

	Shulman - People - cross 220
1	Q. I direct your attention
2	MR. SCHECHTER: I ask the Court to also,
3	please.
4	Q. I direct your attention to the collar of his
5	shirt.
6	Now, is the collar of his shirt closed or is it
7	spaced widely?
8	A. It appears to be unbuttoned on the top.
9	Q. And would you say that the distance between the
10	two sides of his shirt is not what normally that shirt woul
11	look like were it closed?
12	A. Again, it appears unbuttoned, so it doesn't look
13	the same as it looks when it's buttoned.
14	Q. Was the shirt the same way when he came into the
15	room with you withdrawn.
16	Was his shirt in that same condition when you
17	first came into the room and observed him in that room?
18	A. I don't recall if his shirt was unbuttoned or not
19	when I first came into the room.
20	Q. Well, there came a point of time when you were
21	questioning him over a two or three-hour period, is that
22	correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did his shirt always appear like that during the
25	two or three-hour period that you were questioning him?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

229 Shulman - People - cross Again, I don't recall if his shirt was buttoned or Α. unbuttoned. Now, you'll notice that the two lower parts of the shirt --MR. SCHECHTER: I'm asking the Court to take judicial notice of this, and I'm referring to this area (indicating). And I'm referring to this area here and this area here is distorted downward. Do you notice that? THE COURT: Do you want to, just for the record, indicate what it is that you're point at? MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, I'm pointing to the area on the videotape on a point on the lower right center part of the video which shows the bottom left portion of Mr. Gopaul's shirt and also the right lower portion. All of this is basically in the center of the video showing that the shirt is depressed down on the left side and down on the right side. Now, was Mr. Gopaul's shirt in that condition when you first saw him?

23 unbuttoned when I first came in to speak to him.

24 Q. When you -- withdrawn.

Have you any physical contact with Mr. Gopaul

Again, I don't know if his shirt was buttoned or

230 Shulman - People - redirect I might have shook his hand when I introduced myself, but other than that, no. Isn't it a fact that you took your hand right by his collar, right where that distortion of his shirt is, you grabbed his shirt with your hand, indicating a hand with a palm down, grabbing his shirt, pulling him towards you, then pulling him away. Isn't that what you did? Absolutely not. MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I have no more questions of the officer. THE COURT: Okay, sure. If you would, detective, just resume your seat back in the witness box? (Witness resumes the stand.)

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. JOHNSON:

Α.

whatsoever?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Detective Schulman, during the time you were with the defendant on June 24th, 2008 did he ever complain of any injuries?
 - No, he did not. Α.
 - Did he ever ask for any medical attention? Q.
 - No, he did not. Α.
 - Q. Did you ever observe any injuries on him?

ws

	Shulman - People - redirect/recross 231
1	A. No, I did not.
2	MS. JOHNSON: Nothing further.
3	THE COURT: All right, detective, if I could
4	just ask a couple of follow-up questions?
5	As I looked at the video of Mr. Gopaul seate
6	in the interview room there during the videotape it
7	appears as though was he wearing two shirts?
8	In other words, it looked to be a T-shirt or
9	some underlying shirt and then a shirt over it, if you
10	recall?
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know if he had an
12	undershirt on or not, your Honor. I mean, I didn't
13	have any contact with his clothing.
14	THE COURT: Any other questions,
15	Mr. Schechter?
16	MR. SCHECHTER: I have no questions of the
17	officer.
18	THE COURT: All right, detective, thank you
19	very much, you're excused.
20	MR. SCHECHTER: Wait, yes, I do.
21	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
23	Q. Officer, did you have to do a physical inspection
24	of Mr. Gopaul's arms and legs at any time?
25	A. Not that I recall specifically, no.

	Shulman - People - recross 232
•	
1	Q. Or his abdomen?
2	A. No.
3	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you. No more
4	questions.
5	THE COURT: You're excused, detective, thank
6	you.
7	Could I just see the both of you?
8	(Discussion held at the bench, off the
9	record.)
10	(The luncheon recess was taken at this time.)
11	* * * *
12	AFTERNOON SESSION
13	THE COURT: Mr. Schechter, I indicated
14	earlier that this morning there was an individual who
15	my law secretary dealt with. I don't know from what
16	facility one of those two subpoenas were directed to.
17	I think they were both two different facilities?
18	MR. SCHECHTER: My investigator was informed,
19	your Honor, that they had to deliver everything through
20	the Manhattan facility, which they did.
21	As your Honor could tell from my subpoena,
22	there's one specific bit of information that I really
23	require out of that those materials and it's that
24	that I'm most interested in.
25	THE COURT: All right, there was what took

Proceedings

place this morning is that subpoena came from one of the facilities with printed out material that was from a computer that they accessed.

What we were told is that there's a box of materials that I believe comes from Manhattan that we've directed them to send FedEx.

I take it that you're not, at least at this point, expecting any witnesses to testify with regard to the documents.

MR. SCHECHTER: No.

THE COURT: So what I asked them to do is send those materials to chambers FedEx.

The materials that we did receive this morning, I don't know how many pages there were, my law secretary has gone over them.

In essence, there's really not any statements by or attributable to her or attributable to these incidents in terms of her talking about these allegations.

A lot of them has to deal with her placement, if you will.

MR. SCHECHTER: That's what I'm most interested --

THE COURT: And that would be relevant in what sense?

n all due

MR. SCHECHTER: I wish not to, with all due respect, to disclose that information, your Honor, especially in the presence of the prosecutor since this is part of the theory of my case.

THE COURT: Let me say this.

It's my intention, depending on what material I find to be appropriate for disclosure, that it's going to be disclosed to both sides.

MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I, with all due respect, I do not understand how if I -- the whole purpose of a subpoena, as it is with the People's subpoena because they don't notify counsel when they subpoena something, and I don't get any --

THE COURT: As a matter of practice, if the People were to subpoena things to my chambers and I release them I would release them to the defendant as well as the People.

MR. SCHECHTER: They don't have to. They can routinely subpoena information and the Police

Department routinely gives them the information they subpoena without us knowing a thing about it.

There's a reason that I have those things subpoenaed and there's a reason why the subpoenas were done ex parte, your Honor.

I do not want to, in any way, telegraph

ws

counsel my intentions and the reasons for my doing anything here. I have my own theory of the case and it entails getting certain information. The specific

information is outlined in the first request part of my

subpoena.

THE COURT: Well, as I indicated, I haven't looked at the materials myself yet.

As I indicated to you, there may be some materials that -- although I should preface my comments by saying nobody from these facilities, as of yet, is claiming any type of confidentiality or privilege attached to these documents.

In thinking about it, if no one is going to raise that, then I may just release them, again, as I said, to both sides.

But, again, I haven't gone through them. My law secretary just handed me one document that does appear to talk about the incident itself, so what I've asked my law secretary to do is make copies of what we received today.

As I indicated, there's apparently other material we're expecting to get in the next -- I would hope by tomorrow.

MR. SCHECHTER: Okay, Judge, but, as I said, just without specifying what it is, that first one or

ws

two sentences of my subpoena pretty much outlines what I really -- what I'm interested in, at least at this juncture.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to obviously provide what I do receive, absent somebody claiming some type of privilege or confidentiality to it.

I don't know whether it's going to be in response to your subpoena. Only you could say that, obviously.

MR. SCHECHTER: Okay. All right --

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, the logbook had not yet been received by my office.

When I spoke to Detective Schulman he indicated to me that although his detective squad is housed at the 105 Precinct, it is a patrol division log. He has requested that the patrol supervisor, one of the only people that can actually access the book, immediately, upon getting it, fax it over to my office, but I have not yet received that yet.

I also indicated to counsel -- I mean, obviously Officer Alfaro is outside, we can proceed with the hearing, with her testimony, but I had asked for the Court's indulgence with a day to begin the trial at least a day from now, but I just want

your Honor to know there is conflict and issues concerning witness availability on Monday and Tuesday.

My suggestion to your Honor, and, of course, this is -- I'm at the whim of the Court, is if we can do pretrial tomorrow and start picking on Monday and the reason why I ask that is because I have confirmed with the officer and I've confirmed with the detective that they are not available for personal reasons on Monday and Tuesday of next week.

If we were to pick on Thursday and Friday I would not have witnesses available for Monday and Tuesday, that being May 12th -- May 11th and May 12th.

I did confirm with them they are both available on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday.

THE COURT: And that leaves us with one week left for -- assuming all goes well and you get your case in from Wednesday to Friday next week, that leaves us with the week of the 18th for the defendant's case to go in, which it appears as though there is going to be somewhat of a defense case, you know, jury charge, deliberations, and I've got Mr. Schechter looking to get on a plane on the 27th.

MS. JOHNSON: And I understand that, Judge. That's why I'm bringing it to the Court's attention, that the detective and the police officer are not

237

ws

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

available on Monday or Tuesday.

MR. SCHECHTER: The complainant is.

THE COURT: At this point I really loathe to entertain any applications from any of these officers regarding their availability. It seems as though they've had issues with regard to their availability since this case began.

You know, barring some type of medical emergency, as far as I'm concerned, depending on what we cover today, I would be inclined to order a panel for tomorrow afternoon and start picking tomorrow afternoon.

If that becomes not feasible, then we'll start on Thursday morning. I mean, obviously you'll have your complainant.

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: She is available.

MS. JOHNSON: She is, your Honor.

THE COURT: So -- I can't at this point, with the history that's gone on so far -- and, believe me, nobody wants to accommodate an attorney more than myself, but at this point I can't run the risk of picking on Monday.

Quite frankly, although there's only ten peremptories for each side, I think given the nature of

Alfaro - People - direct

239

the case it's not going to be a jury selection that's not going to be necessarily that quick, particularly when jurors hear the nature of the allegations.

So I think the sooner we get this going the better off we're all going to be.

I just want to give this back to my law secretary so we could start making copies.

Do you want to call Ms. -- Officer Alfaro? MS. JOHNSON: People call Police Officer Celica Alfaro.

C E L I C A A L F A R O, a witness called on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn by the clerk of the Court, was examined and testified under oath as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Take a seat.

For the record, state your name, spell your last name, shield number, rank and command?

THE WITNESS: Celica -- PO Celica Alfaro, A-l-f-a-r-o, shield number is 8865, from 105 Precinct, Queens South.

THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY JOHNSON:

Q. Good afternoon, Officer Alfaro.

ws

1

22

23

24

Alfaro - People - direct

i		milato reopto allero
1		How long have you been employed by the New York
2	City Poli	ce Department?
3	A.	Approximately eight years.
4		MR. SCHECHTER: How many years?
5		THE WITNESS: Eight years.
6	Q.	You may just want to push the microphone over.
7		Have you been assigned to the 105 the entire time?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	What are your general duties as an officer with
10	the 105th	Precinct?
11	Α.	Patrol.
12	Q.	I'm going to direct your attention to June 24th,
13	2008.	
14		Were you working that day?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Where were you working?
17	Α.	I don't recall. A sector.
18	Q.	Were you assigned to the 105 Precinct?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	What was your tour?
21	Α.	12 to 8.
22	Q.	P.m. or a.m.?
23	Α.	2315 by 0750.
24	Q.	That's 11:15 p.m. to 7:50 a.m.?
25	Α.	Yes.

Alfaro - People - direct

Would that be the 23rd going into the 24th? Q. 1 Yes. 2 Α. Did there come a time when a Detective Schulman 3 Ο. asked you to assist in a case? 4 5 Α. Yes. Can you tell us what happened? 6 Q. What was it that Detective Schulman asked of you? 7 8 Α. To process an arrest. What was the name of the suspect? 9 Q. Mr. Gopaul. 10 Α. 11 What --Q. Howard. 12 Α. What specifically was your job and your assignment 13 Q. with regard to the arrest? 14 15 Be an assigned officer and assist in processing 16 the arrest. 17 What did processing entail? Q. 18 Doing the online and interviewing the complainant. Α. 19 When you say online, what does that mean? Q. 20 Α. The booking sheet. 21 Would that be preparing the arrest paperwork? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Did you have any contact with the suspect, 24 Harold Gopaul, on June 24th, 2008? 25 Α. Yes.

242 Alfaro - People - direct Do you see that individual in the courtroom today? 0. 1 Yes. 2 Α. Can you point to that person and identify an item Q. of clothing that that person is wearing? 4 He's wearing a gray suit. 5 Α. Can you point to the individual you're referring Q. 6 7 to? Yes (indicating). Α. 8 THE COURT: Indicating the defendant. 9 Officer Alfaro, can you tell us what was your 10 first contact with the defendant on June 24th, 2008? 11 When I was bringing him down to the first floor 12 Α. from the detective squad. 13 What was the defendant doing in the detective 14 squad when you first encountered him? 15 16 Α. Sitting down. Where? 17 Q. Α. In the interview room. 18 Was he handcuffed? 19 0. No. 20 Α. Was he with anybody? 21 Q. 22 Α. No. What did you say to him when you first encountered 23 Q.

the defendant in the interview room?

Saying that I was going to process his arrest and

24

25

Α.

Alfaro - People - direct I was going to take him downstairs. 1 What was his response to you? Q. 2 Α. Okay. 3 Was he cooperative? 4 Q. Α. Yes. 5 What did you do to get him from the interview room 6 Q. to the processing? 7 I put the cuffs on him and took him downstairs and 8 put him in a cell. 9 Was there any conversation between you and the 10 Q. defendant on the way from the interview room to the cell? 11 Yes, I got his pedigree information in front of 12 Α. 13 the desk. What type of pedigree information are you 14 0. referring to? 15 His name, again, his address and his date of 16 Α. birth. 17 18 Q. Did the defendant provide that information to you? Yes. 19 Α. 20 Q. What language was he speaking? 21 Α. English. 22 Were his answers responsive to your questions? Q. 23 Α. Yes. Was he cooperative? 24 Q. 25 Α. Yes.

		Alfaro - People - direct 244
1	Q.	Did he ask you any questions?
2	Α.	No.
3	Q.	Other than pedigree information what was the
4	extent of	your conversation with the defendant at the desk?
5	Α.	That was it.
6	Q.	Where did you go after that?
7	Α.	To the cell area.
8	Q.	Where is that located compared to the desk?
9		MR. SCHECHTER: Which area was that?
10		MS. JOHNSON: The cell area.
11	Q.	Is that what you said?
12		MR. SCHECHTER: Cell area.
13	Α.	Yeah, the cell area.
14	Q.	Where is the cell area in relation to the desk?
15	A.	Behind the desk.
16	Q.	Did you personally bring the defendant to the cell
17	area?	
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	Who else was around?
20	A.	I don't recall.
21	Q.	Were there other officers around?
22	Α.	I don't recall.
23	Q.	Did any other officers have any conversation with
24	you or the	defendant in route to the cell area?
25	Α.	I don't recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Alfaro - People - direct 245 Did you observe any officers exert any physical Ο. force upon the defendant? Α. No. Did you observe any officers or hear any officers Ο. threaten the defendant? Α. No. Where was your weapon while transporting the defendant from the interview room down to the desk? Α. It was in a locked control -- a locked, I guess, locker. Q. When did you put your weapon in the locker? Α. Prior to me taking him to the cell area. Was that Police Department procedure? Q. Α. Yes. Q. How did you get the defendant from the desk to the cell? Α. Walked him. Ο. Did he walk on his own? Α. No, I walked -- he walked in front of me and I walked behind him. Q. Was he handcuffed? Yes. Α. Was he able to walk on his own? Q. Α. Yes.

Did he complain of any pain to you?

Alfaro - People - direct 246 No. 1 Α. Did he ask to receive any medical attention? 2 Ο. 3 Α. No. Did you observe any bruises on him? Q. 4 5 Α. No. Did you observe any scratches on him? 6 Q. 7 No. Α. 8 Q. Did he ever ask to speak with an attorney? 9 Α. No. 10 Q. Did he ever ask to make any phone calls? 11 No. Α. 12 Other than yourself, to your knowledge, as best as 13 you remember, do you remember any other officers interacting 14 with you and the defendant upon him entering the cell? 15 Α. No. Q. What did you say to defendant once he was brought 16 17 into the cell? 18 Α. That I was going to process the paperwork. 19 Q. Did you have any other conversation with him? 20 Α. No. 21 Was the defendant still handcuffed once he was 22 placed into the cell? 23 Α. No, I took the cuffs off. 24 Q. When was it that you took the cuffs off?

Once he was in the cell.

25

Α.

Alfaro - People - direct 247 After the door was closed? Q. 1 Before the door was closed. Α. 2 Was your weapon still secured at that point? 3 Q. Yes. 4 Α. 5 Q. Did you see any other members of law enforcement go into the cell once the defendant was in there? 6 7 Α. No. Did you have any conversation after -- with the 8 9 defendant after he was placed in the cell? 10 Α. No. Did there come a time when Detective Schulman 11 Ο. 12 asked you to further assist with recovering property in this case? 13 14 Yes. Α. 15 What were you told to do? Q. 16 To take the complainant down and to show me where 17 the property was in the vehicle, where she pointed it out 18 to. 19 Q. Where did you go with the complainant? 20 On the side of the precinct where the vehicle was. 21 What type of vehicle was it? Q. 22 An Ecolab truck, work vehicle. Α. 23 Q. Do you recall what the defendant was wearing on 24 June 24th, 2008?

Work uniform.

Α.

		Allaro - People - direct 248
1	Q.	How was it that you identified it as a work
2	uniform?	
3	Α.	Because it was all blue and it had, I believe, an
4	Ecolab si	gn on the side.
5	Q.	What happened when you went to the vehicle outside
6	the 105th	Precinct?
7	Α.	Excuse me?
8	Q.	What did you do once you got to the vehicle that
9	was parke	d outside the 105?
10	Α.	I took the complainant there. She showed me what
11	was	
12		THE COURT: Let me just interrupt.
13		Did you take the complainant or the
14	defe	ndant?
15		THE WITNESS: The complainant.
16		THE COURT: Okay.
17	Α.	I took the complainant to the vehicle where she
18	showed me	where a mini meat clever was in a middle console.
19	Q.	Did you recover that meat clever?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Where did you recover it from?
22	·A.	The vehicle.
23	Q.	Where in the vehicle?
24	Α.	The middle console.
25	Q.	What else did you recover from the vehicle?

		Alfaro - People - direct 249
1	A.	A massager.
2	Q.	What did the massager look like?
3	A.	A cordless white massager.
4	Q.	Where was that recovered from?
· 5	Α.	The vehicle.
6	Q.	Where in the vehicle?
7	Α.	I don't recall.
. 8	Q.	Inside or outside?
9	A.	Inside.
10	Q.	What did you do with the white massager and the
11	meat clev	er after you recovered it?
12	A.	I vouchered it.
13	Q.	What does that mean?
14	Α.	I basically took it into police custody for arrest
15	evidence.	
16	Q.	Was that brought to a property bureau?
17	Α.	It was placed in our property cell until the
18	property	clerk picks it up and takes it.
19	Q.	Did you prepare vouchers
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	with regard to the both of those items?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Was that the only evidence that you recovered in
24	this case	?
25	Α.	No.

Alfaro - People - direct

Where else did you go? Q. 1 Α. To the house. 2 Whose house? 3 Ο. The defendant's house. Α. 4 Where was that located? 5 Q. THE WITNESS: Can I refresh my memory? 6 I have to go back and look at the address. 7 THE COURT: All right, just indicate to us 8 what it is that you're referring to. You can look at 9 it, just tell us what it is that you're looking at. 10 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 11 12 I'm looking at the complaint report? 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 Α. I went to 242-10, 89 Avenue. In Queens? 15 Q. Α. In Queens. 16 17 Ο. What did you do when you went to that location? I was met by the mother of the complainant. 18 Α. 19 Did she identify herself to you? Q. 20 Yes. Α. 21 Who did you go with? Q. 22 Α. I went with two other officers. 23 Q. Do you recall what day it was that you went? Same day as the 24th. 24 Α. 25 Q. What did you say to the victim's mother?

Alfaro - People - cross 251 1 Α. If it's possible, I can come inside. She let me in. 2 3 Q. Was she cooperative? 4 Α. Yes. 5 What did you do once inside the home? Q. 6 I asked if I can go upstairs to retrieve a Α. 7 massager and she said yes. 8 Q. Where did you go? 9 Α. To the bedroom. 10 Q. Whose bedroom? The defendant's bedroom. 11 Α. 12 Did it appear to you to be a master bedroom? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. What did you recover from the bedroom? 15 Α. A massager. Where did you recover it from? 16 Q. 17 - Under the bed. Α. 18 Q. Under the bed of the defendant's bedroom? 19 Α. Yes. 20 What color was it? Q. 21 THE WITNESS: I would have to refresh my memory again, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 Α. I'm looking at Voucher P240076 and it's a -- was a 25 two-speed massager, white and gray.

	Alfaro - People - cross 252	
1	Q. Is that the one you're referring to that you	
2	recovered from the defendant's bedroom?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. What did you do with that massager upon recovering	
5	it?	
6	A. I took it back to the station house and vouchered	
7	it.	
8	Q. Similar to your vouchering of the other property?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Did you prepare a property receipt with regards to	
11	that massager?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Once the defendant was placed into the cell behind	
14	the desk was that your last contact with him?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	MS. JOHNSON: I have no other questions for	
17	Officer Alfaro.	
18	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Schechter?	
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
20	BY MR. SCHECHTER:	
21	Q. Officer Alfaro, prior to going to the defendant's	
22	work vehicle did you have any conversation with	
23	Detective Schulman?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And were you given anything by Detective Schulman?	

Alfaro - People - cross 253 A consent form. Α. 1 And with that consent form, what did you do with 2 Q. it? 3 Α. I read it. 4 5 And what did you do with it after you read it? Ο. I went with the complainant down to the vehicle. 6 Α. 7 And you already had the keys with you? Q. I don't recall. 8 Α. Where did you get the keys from? 9 Q. 10 I don't recall. ` A. 11 Q. Were you present in the courtroom yesterday with Detective Schulman? 12 13 Α. Was I present in the courtroom? 14 Q. No, on the outside of the courtroom? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And did Detective Schulman take you to the window Q. 17 of this door behind me to the courtroom while the courtroom was either in session or my client, Mr. Gopaul, was sitting 18 19 at the counsel table? 20 Α. Not that I recall. 21 Is it your testimony that he did not take you to 22 the window to view my client, Mr. Gopaul, and point him out 23 to you? 24 Is that your testimony?

25

Α.

No.

Alfaro - People - cross 254 Did he do that? Q. 1 2 Α. No. Now, you indicated that you were doing a 11:15 to 3 Ο. 7:50 tour on Tuesday, June 24th, is that correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. Q. And part of your tour you were on radio motor 6 7 patrol? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Were you the operator or the recorder? Ο. 10 Α. I have to refresh my memory. THE WITNESS: Can I get my memo book? 11 12 THE COURT: Yes, just tell us -- you're 13 looking at a memo book? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 I don't have it written down. Α. 16 Q. Do you recall if you were the operator or the recorder? 17 Α. I don't recall. 18 19 Who was your partner? Q. 20 Officer Magor (ph). Α. 21 And was he one of the individuals you went to the Q. 22 house to search with? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. What are the other names of the other police 25 officers who accompanied you to the house to search?

Alfaro - People - cross

And when were you given this -- withdrawn.

- A. Officer Morris and Officer Ingracia (ph).
- When did you return to the station house after your initial patrol, do you recall?
- A. Referring back to my memo book, approximately 0440 hours.
- Q. That would be would be -- 440 hours would be in the morning, 4:40 a.m., correct?
 - A. Yes.

Q.

- Q. And approximately what time did the sergeant supervising your patrol sign your memo book?
 - A. Prior to that at 0412 hours.
 - Q. And where did that take place?
 - A. Out on patrol.
 - Q. Do you recall where when you were on patrol?
- 16 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Now, you had -- when you were on patrol did you make an arrest?
 - A. I was asked if I wanted an arrest. I said yes.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
- A. I was asked if I wanted an arrest. I said yes.
- Q. Who asked you that?
- 23 A. The sergeant.
- Q. Approximately what time did he ask you that?
- A. Don't recall.

256 Alfaro - People - cross And did you go to the property clerk with some 1 Q. property during your patrol? 2 Α. No. 3 I direct your attention to your memo book, 4 officer. Your notation for 2337. You notice where it says 5 borrow with property? 6 7 What does that mean? That's taking property from the day before. I 8 Α. took property down from the day before of everything that 9 10 was vouchered as to -- that had to go to the lab. 11 You made a narcotics arrest? 12 No, I was just assigned to go there. It was a 13 station house assignment. And the property that you took to the -- is that 14 Q. to the property clerk? 15 16 No, to the 107 Precinct. 17 Oh, you took property from the 105 to the 107? Q. 18 Α. Yes. Do you recall what property that was? 19 Q. 20 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 21 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 22 If you remember. 23 Α. No. 24 Q. Now, this communication with respect to whether 25 you want an arrest, was that from Sergeant O'Hagan?

		Alfaro - People - cross 257
1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	Who was that from?
3	Α.	I believe it was Goodman.
4	Q.	Who?
5	Α.	Sergeant Goodman.
6	Q.	Spell that name, please?
7	Α.	G-o-o-d-m-a-n.
8	Q.	And Sergeant Goodman was working the desk that
9	night?	
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	Who was working the desk, if you can recall?
12	Α.	I don't recall.
13	Q.	Was it Sergeant O'Hagan?
14	Α.	I don't recall.
15	Q.	Now, there came a point where you returned to the
16	precinct.	
17		Do you remember when you returned to the precinct
18	after you	r initial motor patrol?
19	Α.	Yeah.
20	Q.	What time?
21	Α.	Approximately 0440.
22	Q.	Now, there's a notation in your memo book 10.2.
23		What does that mean?
24	Α.	That means return to station house.
25	Q.	Now, I direct your attention to the third line

Alfaro - People - cross

258

down from the top. It says one yellow, 8.20.08.

What does that mean?

- That is the color of the day and the return date. A.
- That is the color of what? Q.
- The color of the day and the return date. Α.
- What does that mean? Q.
- That means for the officers to know if there's A. plainclothes that there's a color that we don't mistake with somebody else and if you're doing a Criminal Court summons that's the date that they're supposed to put down on the summons to return to court for the defendants.
 - Q. Got it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, would it be fair to say that you received a communication from Sergeant Goodman between -- withdrawn.

Sergeant Goodman signed your memo book on 0412, didn't he?

- Α. Yes.
- Q. And that was in the field, was it not?
- Α. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall where you were when he signed your memo book?
 - Α. No.
- Now, did you know where Detective Schulman was at Ο. that time?
 - Α. No.

Alfaro - People - cross 259 Did you have any communication with Detective 1 Q. 2 Schulman? Yes. 3 Α. And did that communication take place between 4:12 4 5 in the morning and 4:40 in the morning? 6 Α. No. 7 When did that communication take place? Q. 8 A. After 0445 hours. 9 And when you returned to the station house do you Q. 10 recall who you saw behind the desk? 11 Α. No. 12 Did you observe Mr. Gopaul? Q. 13 Α. No. Had you been informed by the sergeant that there 14 Q. 15 was someone under arrest? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And that was Sergeant O'Hagan? 18 Α. I don't recall. 19 Q. Now, did you take the -- you said you processed Mr. Gopaul's arrest, is that correct? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Did you take the mugshot? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And where did you take that? Q. 25 Α. In the cell area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alfaro - People - cross 260 In point of fact, Mr. Gopaul was already under Q. arrest, was he not, Officer Alfaro? From my understanding, yes. And he had been under arrest for a considerable period of time, isn't that true? Can you rephrase the question? Yes. Mr. Gopaul, prior to your coming back to the station house, had already been placed under arrest, isn't that a fact? I don't know. I was out in the field. didn't --Well, weren't you told to come back to the station house to take an arrest? Α. Yes. Well, had you been informed when you got back to the station house that Mr. Gopaul had already been placed under arrest? Α. Yes. And who placed him under arrest? Ο. Α. I wasn't there. Who was the actual arresting officer of Q. Mr. Gopaul, Officer Alfaro? Α. I am. Well, I'm not talking about the processing Q.

officer, Officer Alfaro, I'm talking about the arresting

Alfaro - People - cross 261 1 officer. Who first placed Mr. Gopaul under arrest? 2 MS. JOHNSON: Objection, asked and answered 3 already. She doesn't know. 4 MR. SCHECHTER: Oh, no, no, no, no. 5 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 6 7 Can you answer that, officer? THE WITNESS: I was out in the field. I 8 9 can't answer that question. Well, when you got back to the station house, were 10 11 you told who took credit for the collar? I took credit for the collar. 12 13 You took credit for the collar even though you had nothing whatsoever to do with the arrest, is that what 14 15 you're saying? 16 I placed -- I processed his arrest. I'm the 17 arresting officer. 18 Well, obviously he was already under arrest when 19 you got back to the station house, that's what you just told us a minute ago. 20 21 My question is, who placed him under arrest? I don't recall. 22 Α. 23 Did Sergeant O'Hagan tell you that he and other 24 officers placed him under arrest? 25 I don't recall.

	Alfaro - People - cross 262
1	Q. Were you told that Mr. Gopaul resisted arrest in
2	any way?
3	A. I don't recall.
4.	Q. Officer, wouldn't you recall if an officer told
5	you that Mr. Gopaul was violent because he resisted arrest?
6	Wouldn't you recall that?
7	A. I would have to look at my paperwork to see the
8	charges.
9	Q. Do you have anything on you which would indicate
10	whether or not Mr. Gopaul had been violent at the time he
11	was placed under arrest?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did you know whether Sergeant O'Hagan placed
14	Mr. Gopaul under arrest together with other officers?
15	A. I don't recall.
16	Q. Do you know how many offers certificates placed
17	him under arrest?
18	A. Don't recall.
19	Q. When you came back to the station house where was
20	Detective Schulman, if you know?
21	A. When I returned?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. Up in the squad.
24	Q. Well, the squad is on the second floor of the
25	station house, correct?

Alfaro - People - cross 263 1 Α. Yes. And was he with anyone or was he alone? 2 Q. I don't recall. 3 Α. Well, did he have any conversation with you when 4 Q. you returned to the station house? 5 Yes, I went upstairs to the squad. 6 Α. 7 And what did he tell you? 0. He told me the basics of the complaint report, of 8 9 an open 61. 10 υQ. Did you do a 61 in this? 11 Α. No. Did you fill out any other police work, police 12 Q. paperwork? 13 14 Α. Yes, the online. 15 The online booking sheet? Q. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Do you have a copy of that with you? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Can I see it, please? 20 (Shown to counsel.) 21 THE COURT: Just off the record one minute. (Discussion held off the record.) 22 23 Q. May I have the document, please? MS. JOHNSON: Judge, I just want to make sure 24 25 there's nothing in it that he's not entitled to.

	Alfaro - People - cross 264
1	(Shown to counsel.)
2	MS. JOHNSON: I think he has this already.
3	(Shown to counsel.)
4	Q. Now, officer, was Sergeant Manolingus (ph) on duty
5	at that time as well?
6	Sergeant Manolingus?
7	A. I don't recall.
8	Q. Do you know who that is?
9	A. Sergeant Manolingus?
10	Q. Yes.
11	A. Yeah, supervisor.
12	Q. And how many sergeants were on duty when you got
13	to the precinct?
14	A. I don't recall.
15	Q. Now, when you filled out the details of the
16	arrest, this wasn't as a result of your conversations with
17	the complainant, was it?
18	A. Yes, I interviewed her first.
19	Q. Oh, you interviewed her as well you interviewed
20	her before Detective Schulman did?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Objection to this line of
22	questioning, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: No, I'll allow it.
24	A. I interviewed her after I made the arrest.
25	Q. You interviewed her after you made the arrest?

	Alfaro - People - cross 265
1	A. I interviewed because it was an open 61.
2	Q. Do you know whether Detective Schulman had
3	interviewed her?
4	A. I don't recall.
5	Q. Isn't it a fact, Officer Alfaro, that Detective
6	Schulman interviewed the complainant and you, in order to
7	get credit for the arrest, basically filled out this online
8	booking sheet to recite what Schulman told you?
9	A. Can you rephrase the question?
10	Q. Isn't it a fact that it was Schulman who
11	interviewed the complainant and that the information on this
12	online booking sheet was not your the product of your
13	interviewing the complainant, but what Schulman told you?
14	A. No.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, counsel was
16	provided copies.
17	Can we give that back to the officer?
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes, when I'm finished with
19	it.
20	Q. Now, she told you that Mr. Gopaul punched her in
21	the face?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Objection. Your Honor, this is
23	totally outside the scope of the hearing, any
24	conversations with the complainant.
25	MR. SCHECHTER: Withdraw the question, Judge.

Alfaro - People - cross 266 I would like to return this to the o officer. 1 (Shown to witness.) 2 Now, officer, how long did it take you to process 3 4 Mr. Gopaul's arrest? I don't recall. 5 Α. 6 Q. Was it one hour, two hours, three hours? 7 How long was it? I don't recall. 8 9 Q. Well, what did you do when you processed 10 Mr. Gopaul's arrest? 11 Tell the Court what you did. 12 Α. When I processed it? 13 Q. Yeah, what did you do? 14 Α. I processed him, fingerprinted, took his picture. 15 You fingerprinted him and you took his picture. Q. 16 You took the picture or did a separate officer 17 take the picture? 18 I took the picture. 19 And after you took the picture, that's just a 20 regular mugshot, it's like a Polaroid, right? 21 Α. It's a -- like a sheet that gets printed out 22 through a printer? 23 Well, how do you take the picture, with a camera 24 or through a computer? 25 Α. Through a camera.

Alfaro - People - cross

267

- And what kind of camera was it you took the 1 Q. picture with? 2 3 Digital. Α. And that comes through the computer, then? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. 6 And you put some information together with the Q. 7 photograph, is that correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9
 - Q. And you took his fingerprints?
 - Yes. Α.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you filled out some paperwork?
- Yes. Α.
 - Q. How many papers did you do, if you can recall? The normal processing?
 - Α. I would have to look at my paperwork.
 - Well, let's see. Q. You got the online booking sheet?
 - Um-hum. Α.
 - Q. You don't do 61s?
 - Α. I didn't do the 61.
- Q. And you're not a detective so you don't do the DD5?
 - Α. I didn't do the DD5.
- You did the vouchers, but that's later, after you recover the material?

268 Alfaro - People - cross Yes. 1 Α. Prior to the search, how long were you at the 2 Ο. precinct? 3 Don't recall. 4 Α. When did you do the search, do you recall that? Q. 5 For the vehicle, it was still daylight. 6 7 And when you -- did you take the vehicle into your 8 custody or did you leave it where it was? 9 I left it where it was. 10 Q. And that was at the precinct, correct? 11 Α. Yes. And how long did it take -- how far is 12 Okay. Mr. Gopaul's home from the precinct, if you can recall? 13 14 Α. About two minutes. 15 Okay. Now, you say you arrested Mr. Gopaul at 16 4:45 a.m., is that correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Then the next log entry you have is 2124, 19 returning the Ecolab to a representative, I suppose, of 20 Ecolab company, would that be correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Please tell the Court what you did for 23 approximately 12 hours, or more? 24 Waited for the Ecolab guys to come, waited for the

riding ADAs to come down to the precinct.

	Allaro - People - Cross 269
1	Q. Now, hold on.
2	Who was is that for a statement to be taken or
3	Mr. Gopaul?
4	A. I don't recall.
5	Q. Well, what was the purpose of waiting for the DA:
6	to come to the precinct?
7	A. Because it was a sensitive case.
8	Q. Detective Schulman was there with Mr. Gopaul at
9	that point, was he not?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Well, what was the need for you to be there
12	waiting for the DAs if Detective Schulman was there?
13	A. Because I was still the arresting officer.
14	Q. Detective Schulman had Mr. Gopaul in his custody
15	did he not?
16	A. Yes, but he's still my prisoner.
17	Q. Oh, so he's your prisoner.
18	So, in other words, what you're saying
19	A. I'm still responsible.
20	Q. So from 4:45 a.m. until 9 o'clock at night the
21	following night, the 25th, that would be, let's see, that's
22	19 hours.
23	So what you're saying is you were with Mr. Gopaul
24	for 19 hours, is that correct?
25	A. Just outside the interview room in the detective

	Alfaro - People - cross 270
1	squad.
2	Q. So you were sitting there for three tours, over
3	two tours?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And you received overtime for two tours, is that
б	correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
9	THE COURT: All right, overruled.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Now, what if I told you that the statements
12	obtained from Mr. Gopaul finished about a quarter to 9.
13	What were you doing from a quarter to 9 to 2124?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
15	THE COURT: Yeah, I'm just I'm going to
16	sustain it as to form.
17	Could you just be a little bit clearer?
18	MR. SCHECHTER: Withdrawn.
19	Q. Is it your obligation to stay with the prisoner
20	all the time until he is lodged?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Were you in the room at the time of the
23	interrogation?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Why?

271 Alfaro - People - cross Because I was asked to step outside. 1 Α. 2 Why, it was your prisoner? Q. 3 Α. Because --MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 4 Which interrogation are we talking about. 5 6 THE COURT: Well --7 Q. The video statement? The ADAs asked me to stay outside while they did 8 the videotaping. 9 Did you transfer custody back to 10 11 Detective Schulman? 12 Yes. But I was still in the squad at the time. 13 What time, can you recall, did you receive the 14 call from the sergeant telling you that he wanted you to 15 take an arrest? 16 I don't recall. Α. 17 Was it during your radio motor patrol? 18 Α. Yes. 19 At any time were you present during any 20 interrogation of Mr. Gopaul? 21 Α. No. 22 MR. SCHECHTER: No more questions of the 23 witness, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Anything, Ms. Johnson?

MS. JOHNSON: Just quickly.

	Alfaro - People - redirect/recross 272
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. JOHNSON:
3	Q. Officer Alfaro, did you have opportunity to
4	observe the defendant after he was interviewed by
5	Detective Schulman?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did you observe any injuries on his body?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Did he complain of any injuries?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did he ask for to receive any medical
12	attention?
13	A. No.
14	MS. JOHNSON: Nothing else, Judge.
15	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
17	Q. Did you examine the defendant's arms and legs?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did you examine his abdomen?
20	A. No.
21	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much.
23	Step down.
24	(Witness excused.)
25	THE COURT: People, you rest?

Proceedings 273 MS. JOHNSON: I rest. 1 2 Can I just officially excuse her? THE COURT: Yes. 3 While you're doing that --4 5 MS. JOHNSON: Make a call? THE COURT: Yeah, make a call and tell her to 6 7 expect to see her next week early. 8 MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I'm respectfully 9 asking the Court to direct the witness to be available for trial so that we don't have a repeat of today where 10 11 she ignored this Court's -- the DA's subpoena. 12 MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I had a 13 conversation with Officer Alfaro about what occurred 14 today. I think it's important that she addresses 15 16 your Honor as to what it is that happened because she 17 did speak with her command and they advised her to go 18 to traffic court. 19 So I don't want to be in a position where the 20 officer is leaving the witness stand shirking the Court 21 and any impression that she wasn't abiding by any 22 subpoenas. 23 THE COURT: Just bring her in, please. 24 (Witness enters the courtroom.) 25 THE COURT: Officer Alfaro, just have a seat

Proceedings

here for a moment.

′

I understand -- and I don't know whether there's some miscommunication with your presence being here, I understand from the People that they subpoenaed you last week to be here today.

I expect a subpoena to be sent out sometime in the next couple of days.

I'm assuming you're going to be calling Officer Alfaro for the trial.

MS. JOHNSON: That is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: So obviously I would direct you to be here for any subpoena in the future with respect to this case.

If there's any question whatsoever about what takes priority, as far as this case is concerned, I would ask you to call the assistant.

And if there's any question, Ms. Johnson, or any confusion --

MS. JOHNSON: Of course, Judge.

THE COURT: -- please speak to my chambers, but as far as I'm concerned, just by way of example, a Criminal Court case, County Court case, is going to take precedence over any traffic court matter.

I understand you may have been directed by your command to go to traffic court. Why they would

		Proceedings	275
1	think th	nat that is more important or takes	precedence
2	over a 0	County Court felony case, escapes me	, but if
3	there's	any question or any doubt in your m	ind about
4	where yo	ou should be, I would expect you to	be here next
5	week.		
6		THE WITNESS: Yes. I do apologiz	e for taking
7	up the C	Court's time on that.	
8		THE COURT: Okay, we'll see you h	ere next
9	week.		
10		THE WITNESS: I'm not going to be	needed for
11	tomorrow	?	
12		THE COURT: No.	
13		MS. JOHNSON: And the officer has	my personal
14	cell pho	ne number.	
15		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
16		MS. JOHNSON: So if there's any pr	roblems
17	obviousl	y she knows where to contact me.	
18		THE COURT: See you next week.	•
19		COURT OFFICER: She can go, right?	
20		MS. JOHNSON: Yes.	
21		(Witness excused.)	
22		THE COURT: People, I think you sa	id you're
23	resting?		
24		MS. JOHNSON: We do, your Honor.	
25		THE COURT: Mr. Schechter?	

	Gopaul - Defendant - direct 276
1	MR. SCHECHTER: I call the defendant.
2	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Gopaul, if you
3	would, please, step forward?
4	HAROLD GOPAUL, Defendant, having been first duly
5	sworn by the clerk of the Court, was examined and
6	testified under oath as follows:
7	COURT OFFICER: Take a seat.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. SCHECHTER:
10	Q. Mr. Gopaul
11	COURT OFFICER: Hold on.
12	For the record, state your name, spell your
13	last name?
14	THE WITNESS: Harold Gopaul, G-o-p-a-u-l.
15	MR. SCHECHTER: May I proceed?
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	Q. Mr. Gopaul, how old are you?
18	A. Now, I'm 51.
19	Q. Fifty-one years of age?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. On June 24th, by whom are you employed?
22	A. Ecolab Pest Elimination.
23	Q. Ecolab?
24	A. Pest Elimination.
25	Q. And what was your position with Ecolab pest

Gopaul - Defendant - direct 277 extermination? 1 Service specialist. 2 Now, were you given a vehicle as part of your 3 Ο. duties? 4 5 Α. Yes. And were you the principal occupant and driver of 6 Q. 7 that vehicle? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Anyone have permission to drive that vehicle but 10 you? No. 11 Α. Now, did you suffer any injuries to your person 12 Q. 13 prior to June 24th, 2008? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Did you have any operations prior to June 24th, 2008? 16 17 Yes, I did. Α. 18 Q. And where was that operation? 19 A. I had a hernia on my naval, on my belly button, a 20 few years ago. 21 Q. How many years ago, if you recall? 22 Α. Give or take, could be four years. 23 Do you suffer any lingering discomfort from that 24 operation? 25 Α. Off and on, I have pains in my belly.

Gopaul - Defendant - direct 278 Now, on June 24th, when for the last time --1 Ο. 2 withdrawn. When for the last time prior to June 24th had you 3 had anything to eat? 4 5 Α. Sunday before at 9 p.m. Now, Sunday would be what, June 2 --6 Q. 22nd. 7 Α. And why was that? 8 Q. 9 Because I don't eat too late. We went to a fair and we came back. I had some work done in the yard and then 10 I had dinner and we went to bed. 11 12 Why wasn't it you hadn't had anything to eat 13 between Sunday June 22nd and June 24? 14 The reason why, I don't really eat outside. 15 do buy food outside I take it home to the family. At that point in time I was working all day Monday straight through 16 Tuesday morning and I don't eat meat, I don't drink alcohol, 17 so the reason why I don't eat outside, because of the meat. 18 19 When you came home from work on the evening of 20 June 24th, was it, what, if anything, did you observe? 21 Well, I --Α. 22 Q. You can answer the question. 23 My regular duties as a parent, I check for my

kids, check for my wife, make sure everybody is in bed, I

cover them if it's cold, I put a fan on, I'll take it off.

24

Gopaul - Defendant - direct 279 Did you notice if anyone was missing? 1 Q. 2 Α. Sana Awan was missing. Approximately what time was that? 3 Q. Around a quarter to 2 in the morning. 4 Α. Where, if at all, did you go? 5 0. I told my wife -- we look in the garage, we looked 6 Α. 7 downstairs in the basement, we looked up in the attic to see if she was there. 8 9 And then I noticed that the back door was unlocked 10 so I told my wife, apparently Sana ran away. 11 So where did you go? Q. 12 Α. I decided to go to the police to make a report. 13 Q. How far away is the precinct from your house? 14 A little more than a quarter mile. Α. 15 And how did you get there? Q. 16 Α. I drove the service vehicle that I had. 17 Q. And approximately what time did you get to the 18 precinct? 19 Α. About 2:30. 20 And when you got to the precinct where did you go? 21 Α. I stood by the door and one officer asked me, "Can 22 I help you?" 23 Ο. Describe the officer? 24 Α. Tall, male, big in size. 25 Q. About how much taller -- was he taller than you?

Α.

Ο.

Α.

Q.

the officer?

Α.

help you?"

missing."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gopaul - Defendant - direct 280 Yes. How much taller than you? I would say about probably six to eight inches taller than me, give or take. And how much did he weigh, approximately? Over 200 pounds. And what, if anything, occurred between you and He came up to me and asked me, he said, "Can I And I said, "I came to report my daughter is And he asked my name and address. He asked me where -- I told him where I lived and my name and address and he asked me why am I looking for my daughter.

I say, "I don't know. I just came home and I went looking for my kids in the bed and she was missing, so I decide to come and make a report."

About -- at that time there were a few officers there, give or take, nine or ten of them, they just came around where I was standing close to me and they all stood by me there.

- Q. Could you please describe some of the officers?
- Α. They had a lot of white guys, they had a black guy and a -- I don't know what race they was. I know the white

Gopaul - Defendant - direct 281 skin and black guy. 1 What, if anything, occurred at that time that they 2 3 surrounded you? After they asked me the questions, the officer who 4 asked me the question, he grabbed me by my hand and slammed 5 me to the wall next to the front door. 6 O. Please describe how he did that? 7 8 Describe to the Court how that happened? 9 Should I stand up or --Α. 10 MR. SCHECHTER: May he demonstrate, Judge? 11 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead. 12 Α. This is the front door here. The officer was 13 standing here. The rest of the officers was around him, 14 close to me, and they were like moving closer. 15 When I finished answering the question he hold me by my hand and slammed me into the wall like this and put my 16 17 hand behind my back. 18 Ο. Go ahead. 19 And then he -- the cop said, "Put the cuff on 20 him," and then one of the guy put the cuff on. 21 While they were putting the cuff on me they 22 arrested me, they were pulling me, they ws spreading my legs 23 as far as they can go. They were scratching and squeezing 24 and tugging. 25 Where did they scratch you?